**15(3): 1-7, 2016, Article no.BJMCS.24648** *ISSN: 2231-0851*

**SCIENCEDOMAIN** *international*





# **On Davenport and Heilbronn-Type of Functions**

 $\mathbf{L}.\,\, \mathbf{Ferry^{1}},\, \mathbf{D}. \,\, \mathbf{Ghisa^{2^{\ast}}}\,\, \mathbf{and}\,\, \mathbf{F.} \,\, \mathbf{A}. \,\, \mathbf{Muscutar^{3}}$ 

*The Green Weasenham, Kings Lynn, Norfolk, PE32 2TD, United Kingdom. Department of Mathematics, York University, Glendon College, 2275 Bayview Avenue, Toronto, On, M4N 3M6, Canada. Department of Science and Mathematics, Lorain CCC, 1005 Abbe Road, Elyria, OH 44035, USA.*

### *Authors' contributions*

*This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. Author DG designed the study, wrote the first draft of the manuscript and managed literature searches. Authors LF and FAM managed the analysis of the study, the drawing of the graphics and their implementation in the text. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

#### *Article Information*

DOI: 10.9734/BJMCS/2016/24648 *Editor(s):* (1) Nikolaos Dimitriou Bagis, Department of Informatics and Mathematics, Aristotelian University of Thessaloniki, Greece. *Reviewers:* (1) Ognjen Vukovic, University of Liechtenstein, Liechtenstein. (2) Young Hee Geum, Dankook University, South Korea. Complete Peer review History: http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/13697

*Received: 28th [January 2016](http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/13697) Accepted: 4th March 2016 Opinion Article Published: 15th March 2016*

## **Abstract**

A correction is brought to the opinion expressed in a previous note that the off critical line points indicated as being non trivial zeros of Davenport and Heilbronn function are affected of approximation errors and illustrations are presented which enforce the conclusion that they are true zeros. It is shown also that linear combinations of L-functions satisfying the same Riemann-type of functional equation do not offer counterexamples to RH, contrary to a largely accepted position.

*Keywords: Dirichlet character; Dirichlet L-function; non trivial zero; critical line.*

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 30C35; 11M26.

*<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: dghisa@yorku.ca;*

## **1 Introduction**

In 1934 Potter and Titchmarsh [1], dealing with what is known today as Davenport and Heilbronn function, have shown that a certain linear combination of two Dirichlet L-functions corresponding to complex conjugate Dirichlet characters modulo 5 that satisfies a Riemann-type of functional equation. Since the corresponding Dirichlet series cannot be represented as an Euler product, they suspected that this function mi[gh](#page-5-0)t have off critical line non trivial zeros. They even thought that they have identified two such zeros, yet they acknowledged that "the calculations are very cumbrous, and can hardly be considered conclusive".

However, after almost 60 years Spira's [2] calculations produced some more such zeros, which have found an undisputed place in the literature (see [3], [4], [5]), despite of a certain ambiguity regarding the symmetric points with respect to the critical line. In [6] and [7] some more off critical line zeros of that function have been indicated and other functions obtained by a similar construction have been shown as possessing off critical line zeros. By studying these functions, we found an incongruity, with which we will deal in Section 3, a[nd](#page-5-1) we ha[d](#page-5-2) t[he](#page-5-3) i[mp](#page-5-4)ression that something similar must have taken place in Spira's calculations.

Our geometric approach contradicted the existence of such zeros, since it was showing no sign of symmetry with respect to the critical line. The only explanation we had at hand was that some errors of approximation produced [fa](#page-4-0)lse off critical line zeros.

We made known our findings in [8]. However later we discovered that inadvertently one of the Dirichlet characters we were supposed to use in the construction of the Davenport and Heilbronn function was incorrect, hence our function did not satisfy a Riemann-type of functional equation and, normally this fact produced the lack of symmetry. The only way to interpret this lack of symmetry was to invoke the effect [o](#page-5-5)f approximation errors of the coefficients used in the respective linear combination.

After correcting that mistake and zooming on the region where Spira's zeros were located, the graphics have shown clearly not only those zeros, but also the symmetric ones with respect to the critical line.

We are now able to describe exactly what happens geometrically with the fundamental domains containing those zeros. Although the embracing phenomenon concerns only curves  $\Gamma_{k,j}$ ,  $j \neq 0$ , something similar happens here with  $\Gamma_{k,0}$  and a component of the pre-image of a ray in a very close position to the negative real half axis, so that these two are embracing a curve  $\Gamma_{k,1}$  or  $\Gamma_{k,-1}$ . The effect on the fundamental domain associated with the embraced curve is that it becomes bounded to the right. It was impossible to imagine that such a configuration can exist before discovering it in the case of Davenport and Heilbronn function.

Fig. 1 is illustrating three couples of Spira's zeros. All the zeros at the right of the critical line are situated on curves  $\Gamma_{k,0}$ , as expected while the zeros at the left of the critical line are situated one on a curve  $\Gamma_{k,1}$  and two on curves  $\Gamma_{k,-1}$ .

In Fig. 2 we indicate the fundamental domain associated to an embraced curve  $\Gamma_{k,-1}$ . The slit corresponding to the respective fundamental domain is a ray *L* starting at the image of the branch point (the zero of the derivative). The position of this zero is in accord with Speiser's theorem for Riemann Zeta function, hinting that the respective theorem might admit a generalization. It is approximately at 0.45+176.7i. The fact that it has the same imaginary part as the two zeros of the function is not a simple coincidence. Indeed we have proved that if *f*(*s*) satisfies a Riemann-type of functional equation, but it does not satisfy RH, then for every two distinct non trivial zeros  $s_1 = \sigma + it$  and  $s_2 = 1 - \sigma + it$  of  $f(s)$  there is a zero  $s_0$  of  $f'(s)$  located on the interval determined by  $s_1$  and  $s_2$ .



**Fig. 1. Zeros of Davenport and Heilbronn function symmetric with respect to the critical line**



**Fig. 2. Fundamental domains containing symmetric zeros with respect to the critical line**

It is the unique example encountered until now where the interval  $(1, +\infty)$  of the real axis is not a part of the slit bounding the image of a fundamental domain. Can such a configuration appear for a Dirichlet L-function? The answer is negative and a more general class of functions has been investigated from this point of view in [9], [10].

## **2 Davenport and Heilbronn-Type of Function**

In this section we take a new look at t[he](#page-6-0) D[ave](#page-6-1)nport and Heilbronn function. It has been obtained by analytic continuation to the whole complex plane of the Dirichlet series

$$
f(s) = 1 + \frac{\tan \theta}{2^s} - \frac{\tan \theta}{3^s} - \frac{1}{4^s} + \frac{0}{5^s} + \frac{1}{6^s} + \dots
$$
 (2.1)

It has been proved that for  $\tan^2 \theta = \left[\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}}\right] / \left[\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}}\right]$ , i.e.  $\tan \theta = 0.284079...$ this function can be written as:

$$
f(s) = \frac{1}{2} \sec \theta \left[e^{-i\theta} L(s; \chi) + e^{i\theta} L(s; \overline{\chi})\right]
$$
 (2.2)

where *χ* denotes the Dirichlet character modulo 5 for which  $\chi(2) = i$ . This function satisfies the Riemann-type of functional equation

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
f(s) = 2s \pis-1 5(1/2)-s \Gamma(1-s) \cos \frac{\pi s}{2} f(1-s)
$$
 (2.3)

Since  $f(s)$  is real for real *s*, if  $f(\sigma_0+it_0) = 0$  then necessarily  $f(\sigma_0-it_0) = 0$  and if  $\cos[\pi(\sigma_0+it_0)/2] \neq$ 0, then due to 2.3, we have also  $f(1 - \sigma_0 + it_0) = 0$ , in other words the non trivial zeros of the function  $f(s)$  are two by two symmetric with respect to the critical line. In Fig. 1 the zeros from the right of the critical line are three of those indicated by Spira.

We are reluctant to call these zeros counterexamples to the Riemann Hypothesis (RH) since the function  $f(s)$  i[s no](#page-3-0)t a Dirichlet L-function for which the generalized RH has been stated, neither belongs it to the Selberg class for which the Grand RH is expected to be true. They are simply an illustration of the fact that a Riemann-type of functional equation implies this symmetry of some non trivial zeros with respect to the critical line. Functions of this type can be constructed for an arbitrary modulus *q* possessing complex conjugate characters  $\chi$  and  $\overline{\chi}$ .

It is known (see [3], Corollary 10.9) that if  $\chi$  is a primitive Dirichlet character modulo  $q$ , then the Dirichlet L-function

$$
L(s; \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi(n) n^{-s} \tag{2.4}
$$

satisfies a Riemann-type of functional equation of the form:

$$
L(s; \chi) = \epsilon(\chi)W(s)L(1-s; \overline{\chi})
$$
\n(2.5)

where  $W(s) = 2^{s} q^{(1/2)-s} \pi^{s-1} \Gamma(1-s) \sin \frac{\pi}{2}(s+\kappa)$ ,  $\kappa = 0$  if  $\chi(-1) = 1$  ( $\chi$  is even),  $\kappa = 1$  if  $\chi(-1) = -1$  ( $\chi$  is odd) and

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
\epsilon(\chi) = \tau(\chi)/i^{\kappa} \sqrt{q}, \tau(\chi) = \Sigma_{k=\chi}^{q}(k) Exp\{2k\pi i/q\}
$$
\n(2.6)

**Theorem 2.1.** *If for an arbitrary modulus q there are complex conjugate primitive Dirichlet characters*  $\chi$ (*modq*) *and*  $\overline{\chi}$ (*modq*)*, then a Davenport-Heilbronn type of function.* 

$$
f(s) = \frac{1}{2} \{ [L(s; \chi) + L(s; \overline{\chi})] + i \tan \theta [L(s; \chi) - L(s; \overline{\chi})] \}
$$
(2.7)

*can be built, which satisfies a Riemann-type of functional equation.*

*Proof.* In principle all we need is to duplicate the computation from [11], which has been done for the particular value  $q = 5$ . The functions  $L(s; \chi)$  and  $L(s; \overline{\chi})$  satisfy functional equations of the form 2.5 for which  $\kappa$  is the same, since conjugate Dirichlet characters have the same parity. The corresponding  $W(s)$  is the same too. Obviously,  $\tau(\chi) \neq \tau(\overline{\chi})$ , since otherwise the row matrices corresponding to the two characters would be linearly dependent, which is excluded. Finally,  $\epsilon(\chi) \neq \epsilon(\overline{\chi}) = \epsilon(\chi)$ , i.e.  $\epsilon(\chi) \neq \pm 1$ . By an elementary comput[ati](#page-6-2)on and having in view Equation 2.5, it can be found th[at:](#page-3-1)

$$
f(s) = \frac{1}{2} \sec \theta [e^{-i\theta} L(s; \chi) + e^{i\theta} L(s; \overline{\chi})]
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \sec \theta [e^{-i\theta} \epsilon(\chi) W(s) L(1 - s; \overline{\chi}) + e^{i\theta} \overline{\epsilon(\chi)} W(s) L(1 - s; \chi)]
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{W(s)}{2} \sec \theta [e^{i\theta} L(1 - s; \overline{\chi}) + e^{-i\theta} L(1 - s; \chi)] = W(s) f(1 - s)
$$
\n(2.8)

if  $e^{-i\theta} \epsilon(\chi) = e^{i\theta}$  and thus  $e^{i\theta} \overline{\epsilon(\chi)} = e^{-i\theta}$ .

**Example 2.2.** *If*  $\chi = \chi_2 \pmod{7}$ , *then*  $\theta$  *can be determined such that*  $f(s) = W(s)f(1-s)$ *, where*  $W(s) = 2^{s}7^{(1/2)-s}\pi^{s-1}\Gamma(1-s)\cos\frac{\pi s}{2}$ . Indeed,  $\chi = \chi_2 (mod 7)$  is odd, hence  $\kappa = 1$ , thus  $\sin \frac{\pi}{2}(s+\kappa) =$  $\cos \frac{\pi s}{2}$  and  $\tau(\chi) = \sum_{k=1}^{7} \chi(k) Exp\{2k\pi i/7\} = e^{2\pi i/7} + \omega^2 e^{4\pi i/7} + \omega e^{6\pi i/7} - \omega^2 e^{8\pi i/7} - \omega^2 e^{10\pi i/7} - e^{12\pi i/7}$ , *where*  $\omega = e^{\pi i/3}$ . Having in view that  $\omega^2 = -\overline{\omega}$  and that  $e^{2(7-k)\pi i/7}$  and  $e^{2k\pi i/7}$  are complex *conjugate numbers, it can be easily found that*  $\tau(\chi) = 2i[\sin \frac{2\pi}{7} - \overline{\omega} \sin \frac{3\pi}{7} + \omega \sin \frac{\pi}{7}]$  and  $\epsilon(\chi) =$  $\tau(\chi)/i\sqrt{7} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{7}}[(\sin \frac{\pi}{7} + 2 \sin \frac{2\pi}{7} - \sin \frac{3\pi}{7}) + i\sqrt{3}(\sin \frac{\pi}{7} + \sin \frac{3\pi}{7})]$ 

*The values of these trigonometric functions can be computed approximately and we find that* tan  $\alpha =$  $[Im\epsilon(\chi)] / [Re\epsilon(\chi)] = 2.386161273...$ 

*and*  $\tan \theta = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{1 + \tan^2 \alpha}}{\tan \alpha} = 0.66518189...$ 

*It is expected f*(*s*) *to have off critical line non trivial zeros.*

# **3 Linear Combinations of L-Functions Satisfying the Same Functional Equation**

<span id="page-4-0"></span>This topic made the object of the separate publication [12]. However, the remark which follows is more suited to an opinion article like this one. In [6], as well as in [4] the following example has been given, which seemed to close the discussion about the availability of counterexamples to GRH, since it has offered plenty of them. Let  $f_k(s)$ ,  $k = 1, 2$  be two L-functions

$$
f_k(s) = W(s)\overline{f_k(1-\overline{s})} \tag{3.1}
$$

Then, for an arbitrary complex number  $s_0$ , the function

<span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
f(s) = f_1(s_0) f_2(s) - f_2(s_0) f_1(s)
$$
\n(3.2)

has obviously the zero  $s_0$ , which can be taken anywhere off the critical line. Then for the purpose of showing that a certain class of functions does not satisfy RH it looks to be enough to find two linearly independent functions in the class satisfying the same Riemann-type of functional equation. It is implied in  $[6]$ , as well as in [4] that  $f(s)$  satisfies also the functional equation 3.1. We will show

 $\Box$ 

that this cannot be true. Indeed, by 3.1 and 3.2 we have respectively:

$$
f(s) = f_1(s_0)W(s)\overline{f_2(1-\overline{s})} - f_2(s_0)W(s)\overline{f_1(1-\overline{s})}
$$
\n(3.3)

$$
W(s)\overline{f(1-\overline{s})} = W(s)[\overline{f_1(s_0)f_2(1-\overline{s})} - \overline{f_2(s_0)f_1(1-\overline{s})}]
$$
\n(3.4)

Thus, if one of  $f_k(s_0)$  is not real, the two expressions are different, hence  $f(s)$  does not satisfy 3.1.

If both  $f_1(s_0)$  and  $f_2(s_0)$  are real and  $Res_0 = 1/2$ , there is nothing to prove. Suppose that  $Res_0 \neq 1/2$ , i.e.  $s_0 \neq 1 - \overline{s_0}$ . Since  $f_k(s_0)$  are both real,  $s_0$  must be located at the intersection of two curves  $\Gamma_{k,j}$  of the two functions, which reduces the admissible position of  $s_0$  to a countable set in the plane. If  $f(s)$  satisfies the functional equation 3.1, we must have  $f(1 - \overline{s}_0) = 0$ . Obvio[usly](#page-4-1), the probability of this last event is zero. For the location of zeros see [13,14].

The final conclusion is that this apparently simple counterexample to GRH is in fact not valid.

## **4 Conclusion**

We bring a correction to a previous publication in this journal which assumed that approximation errors were responsible for some points appearing as off critical line zeros of Davenport and Heilbronn function. Illustrations are presented showing that these points are indeed true zeros. An argumentation is offered to the fact that L-functions satisfying the same Riemann-type of functional equation do not offer valid counterexamples to GRH, contrary to an opinion generally admitted until now.

## **Competing Interests**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## **References**

- [1] Potter HSA, Titchmarsh EC. The zeros of Epstein's zeta functions. Proc. London Math. Soc. 1935;39(2):372-384.
- [2] Spira R. Some zeros of the Titchmarsh Counterexample. Mathematics of Computation. 1994;63(208):747-748.
- <span id="page-5-0"></span>[3] Montgomery HL, Vaughan RC. Multiplicative number theory: I. Classical Theory, Cambridge; 2006.
- <span id="page-5-1"></span>[4] Steuding J. An introduction to the theory of L-Functions. Wurzburg; 2006.
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>[5] Bombieri E, Ghosh A. Around the Davenport-Heilbronn function. Uspekhi Mat. Nauk. 2011;66(2):15-66.
- <span id="page-5-3"></span>[6] Balanzario EP. Remark on Dirichlet series satisfying functional equations. Divulgationes Matematicas. 2000;8(2):169-175.
- <span id="page-5-4"></span>[7] Balanzario EP, Sanchez-Ortiz J. Zeros of the Davenport-Heilbronn counterexample. Mathematics of Computation. 2007;76(260):2045-2049.
- <span id="page-5-6"></span><span id="page-5-5"></span>[8] Ferry L, Ghisa D, Muscutar FA. Note on the zeros of a Dirichlet function. BJMCS. 2015;100- 106.
- [9] Ghisa D. On the generalized riemann hypothesis. Complex analysis and potential theory with applications. Cambridge Scientific Publishers, 2014;77-94.
- [10] Ghisa D. Fundamental domains and analytic continuation of general Dirichlet series. British Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science. 2015;9(2):94-111.
- <span id="page-6-0"></span>[11] Vaughan RC. Personal communication; 2015.
- <span id="page-6-1"></span>[12] Cao-Huu T, Ghisa D, Muscutar FA. Multiple solutions of Riemann-type of functional equations, arXiv, 1602.04250.
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>[13] Barza I, Ghisa D, Muscutar FA. On the location of zeros of the derivative of Dirichlet L-Functions. Annals of the University of Bucharest. 2014;5(LXIII):21-31.

 $\mathcal{L}=\{1,2,3,4\}$  , we can consider the constant of  $\mathcal{L}=\{1,2,3,4\}$ 

[14] Ghisa D. On the generalized Riemann hypothesis II, arXiv, 1602.01799.

*⃝*c *2016 Ferry et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

#### *Peer-review history:*

*The peer review hist[ory for this paper can be accessed here \(Pleas](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)e copy paste the total link in your browser address bar)*

*http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/13697*