

Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition

Volume 10, Issue 4, Page 44-53, 2024; Article no.AJSSPN.122340 ISSN: 2456-9682

## Performance of Gobhi Sarson (*Brassica napus* L.) under Integrated Nutrient Management

## Keithellakpam Chanu Ningthi <sup>a++\*</sup> and Rashpal Singh Sarlach <sup>a#</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Agricultural Sciences and Technology, RIMT University, Mandi Gobindgarh, Punjab-147301, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/ajsspn/2024/v10i4380

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/122340

**Original Research Article** 

Received: 08/07/2024 Accepted: 10/09/2024 Published: 14/09/2024

## ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during rabi season (2023-24) at Agricultural Research Farm, School of Agricultural Sciences and Technology, RIMT University Mandi Gobindgarh, to study the effect of integrated nutrient management (INM) in Gobhi Sarson (*Brassica napus* L.). The experimental field was laid out in randomized complete block design with 10 treatments and replicated thrice. The experiment comprised of 10 treatments i.e. T<sub>1</sub> -Control, T<sub>2</sub> -100% Recommended Dose of Fertilizers (RDF) (40:20::N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>3</sub> -125% RDF, T<sub>4</sub> -150% RDF, T<sub>5</sub> -50% RDF + 15 t Farm Yard Manure (FYM) ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>6</sub> -50% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>7</sub> -75% RDF + 15 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>8</sub> -75% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>9</sub> -100% RDF + 15 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> and T<sub>10</sub> -100% RDF + 20 t

*Cite as:* Ningthi, Keithellakpam Chanu, and Rashpal Singh Sarlach. 2024. "Performance of Gobhi Sarson (Brassica Napus L.) under Integrated Nutrient Management". Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition 10 (4):44-53. https://doi.org/10.9734/ajsspn/2024/v10i4380.

<sup>++</sup>M.Sc. Agronomy;

<sup>#</sup> Professor;

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Email: kningthi18@gmail.com, kningthi@gmail.com;

FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>. The result revealed that growth parameters were significantly higher in treatment  $T_{10}$  - 100% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> plant population (21.79 m<sup>-2</sup>), plant height (157.9 cm), number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup> (17.15), chlorophyll content (55.74) and yield and yield attributes were also significantly higher in treatment  $T_{10}$  -100% RDF + 20 t FYM number of siliquae plant<sup>-1</sup> (291.0), siliqua length (8.423 cm), number of seeds siliqua<sup>-1</sup> (23.01), 1000 seed weight (3.45 g), seed yield (23.45 q ha<sup>-1</sup>), straw yield (59.04 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and harvest index (28.80 %) were observed. From this study it may be concluded that the combination of RDF and FYM enhanced the overall growth, yield and yield attributes of Gobhi Sarson.

Keywords: Ghobi Sarson; INM; recommended dose of fertilizers; farm yard manure; growth parameters and yield attributes.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Oilseeds have prestigious place in Indian agriculture next to cereals. Now-a-day, India is also one of the largest importers of vegetable oils. It contains high number of amino acids, proteins and the fat yields, it makes useful for the well-being of the humans [1]. Rapeseed-mustard (Brassica napus L.) is the 3rd largest oil crop in the world. It is a rabi season crop that requires relatively cool temperature, a fair supply of soil moisture during the growing season and a dry harvest period [2]. It is the primary source of high-quality vegetable oil and feed proteins, it has become one of the most valuable agricultural products [3]. It plays an important role in human nutrition and animal feed, occupying a significant position in the diet of people. It is used in industrial application and its oilcake can also serve as manure. It is also used as an ornament because of its diverse flower colour. It's all parts are useful, even the trash which could be used as animal feed or recycled. At global scale 35.95 million hectares area and 71.49 million tonnes production of rapeseed-mustard during 2019-20 [4]. In India around 8.06 million hectares area is under rapeseed-mustard along with 11.75 million tonnes production and 1458 kg ha-1 productivity during 2021-22 [5]. The largest rapeseed producing states in India are Rajasthan (44.97%), Harvana (12.44%), Madhya Pradesh (11.32%), Uttar Pradesh (10.60%) and West Bengal (7.53%) during (2014-15 to 2018-19). In Punjab, rapeseed and mustard were grown on 43.9 thousand hectares with a production of 69.3 thousand tonnes during 2021-22. The average yield was 15.79 quintals per hectare or 6.39 quintals per acre [6].

Rapeseed require relatively large amount of nutrients for realization of yield potential but inadequate supply often leads to low productivity [7]. Fertilization of rapeseed with nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium is essential for growth and development of rapeseed crops [8]. Therefore, substantial increase in crop yield and oil content of the crop could be achieved by application of appropriate dose of NPK fertilizers along with proper dose of secondary and micro nutrients [9]. But inadequate utilization of inorganic resources leads to a low production of rapeseed crops in both quality and quantity in addition to depleting the nutrients in the soil.

The use of organic manure improves soil tenacity supplying macronutrients while also and micronutrients to the soil [10]. Farm Yard Manure improves soil structure, increasing the microbial activity of soil to improve its mineral supply and also the plant nutrients. However, compared to the quick nutrient release of chemical fertilizers, organic fertilizers have low nutrient concentrations and nutrient released is very slow to support crops in a short duration. Chemical fertilizers or organic manures alone cannot sustain the desired levels of crop production under continuous farming. So, the beneficial approach to overcome this problem is Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) which is the reduction of chemical fertilizers combined with application of organic manure. Such the combination improved soil health [11]. It also increased plant growth, yield and crop productivity. The aim of INM is to increase crop yield per unit area and to preserve soil productivity for next generation by integrated use of organic and chemical sources nutrients [12]. INM is important to utilize the benefits of organic manures, compost, crop residue agricultural wastes, biofertilizers and their significant effects with chemical fertilizers. This will increase the supply of nutrients in the soil and efficiency with which they are used, thereby enhancing soil health and environmental safety as well as productivity and agricultural sustainability [13]. INM can improve the monetary acquiesce of mustard base crop sequences almost to 35%

than without of FYM management [14]. INM is a useful strategy that may provide plants with adequate amounts of the maiority of macronutrients and micronutrients in an economic manner. It can also reduce the number of chemical fertilizers used, improve the physiochemical and environmental conditions of soil, safeguard the soil nutrient balance in the long run to an optimum level for sustaining the desired crop productivity and fine the safety ways depose of agricultural wastes to [15]. Considering the significance of this facts, the present investigation entitled "Integrated Nutrient Management in Gobhi sarson (Brassica napus L.) was planned and carried out at Agriculture Research Farm, Department of Agriculture, School of Agricultural Sciences and Technology, RIMT University, Mandi Gobindgarh during the rabi season (2023-24).

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted at the Agriculture Research Farm, School of Agricultural Sciences and Technology, RIMT University, Mandi Gobindgarh, Punjab during *rabi* season 2023-24. The experimental site is associated in Punjab at 30.6642°N latitude and 76.2914°E longitude at an altitude of 268.04 meters above mean sea level.

experimental field was laid out in The randomized complete block design with 10 treatments and replicated thrice. The experiment comprised of 10 treatments i.e. T<sub>1</sub>- Control. T<sub>2</sub> -100% RDF (40:20::N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>3</sub> -125% RDF, T<sub>4</sub> -150% RDF, T<sub>5</sub> -50% RDF + 15 t FYM ha-1, T<sub>6</sub> -50% RDF + 20 t FYM ha-1, T<sub>7</sub> -75% RDF + 15 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>8</sub> -75% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>,  $T_{9}$  -100% RDF + 15 t FYM ha  $^{-1}$  and  $T_{10}$  -100% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>. The gohhi sarson cultivar ADV 405 was sown by hand sowing at a depth 4-5 cm and row to row 45cm and plant to plant 10 cm. Seed rate of 3.75 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was used in a plot size of 4.5m × 3.5m. Half dose of urea and full dose of single supper phosphate were applied as basal dose and remaining half dose applied with first irrigation. These were incorporated as per treatments. The farm yard manure from dairy farm was applied as basal application of treatment wise. The data on growth parameters viz. plant population at harvest (m<sup>-2</sup>), plant height (cm), number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup>, chlorophyll content and yield attributes viz, number of siliquae plant<sup>1</sup>, siliqua length (cm), number of seeds siliqua<sup>-1</sup>, 1000 seed weight (g), seed yield (q ha<sup>-1</sup>), straw yield (q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and harvest index (%) were observed.

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Growth Parameter

## 3.1.1 Data regarding plant population and plant height were shown in Table 1

Plant population at harvest (m<sup>-2</sup>): Plant population refer to the total number of plants present at unit area of land. It was measured in per square meter. The total numbers of plants in each plot were counted 2 days before harvesting. With the increase in RDF, the plant population was increased and significantly higher plant population was observed in treatment T<sub>4</sub> -150% RDF (21.08 m<sup>-2</sup>), T<sub>3</sub>-125% RDF (20.51 m<sup>-2</sup>) and T<sub>2</sub> -100% RDF (40:20: N: P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)  $(17.30 \text{ m}^{-2})$  than treatment T<sub>1</sub>- control (13.95 m<sup>-2</sup>). Amona integrated nutrient management treatments significantly higher plant population was observed in treatment T<sub>10</sub>-100% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (21.79 m<sup>-2</sup>), T<sub>9</sub>-100% RDF + 15 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (21.33 m<sup>-2</sup>), T<sub>8</sub>-75% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (17.10 m<sup>-2</sup>), T<sub>7</sub>-75% RDF + 15 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (16.96  $m^{-2}$ ) than treatment T<sub>1</sub>- control (13.95  $m^{-2}$ ). The plant population in treatment T<sub>5</sub>-50% RDF + 15 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (15.45 m<sup>-2</sup>) and T<sub>6</sub> -50% RDF + 20 t FYM ha-1 (15.65 m-2) found statistically at par with treatment  $T_1$ - control (13.95 m<sup>-2</sup>). The data revealed that maximum plant population was observed in treatment T<sub>10</sub> -100% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (21.79 m<sup>-2</sup>) which was at par with  $T_9$  -100% RDF + 15 t FYM ha-1 (21.33 m-2), T4 -150% RDF (21.08 m<sup>-2</sup>), T<sub>3</sub>-125% RDF (20.51 m<sup>-2</sup>) and minimum in treatment  $T_1$ - control (13.95 m<sup>-2</sup>) was observed. Similar results were recorded by Deekshith et al., [16].

Plant height (cm): Plant height is one of the parameters which indicates the growth of the plant. Its measurement is often used to monitor the effect of different treatments on crop growth. At 75 DAS, increase in plant height with treatment T<sub>10</sub>-100% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (80.5 cm) which was at par with T<sub>9</sub>-100% RDF + 15 t FYM ha-1 (78.2 cm.) and T<sub>4</sub> -150% RDF (77.2 cm). At 100 DAS, increase in plant height with treatment T<sub>10</sub>-100% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (122.3 cm) which was at par with T<sub>9</sub>-100% RDF + 15 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (118.6 cm.) and T<sub>4</sub>-150% RDF (116.3 cm). At 125 DAS, increase in plant height with treatment T<sub>10</sub>-100% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (155.7 cm) which was at par with T<sub>9</sub>-100% RDF + 15 t FYM ha-1 (151.9 cm.). At harvest, with the increase in RDF, the plant height was increased and significantly higher plant height was observed in treatment T<sub>4</sub>-150%RDF (152.3 cm), T<sub>3</sub> -125% RDF (150.9 cm) and T<sub>2</sub> -100% RDF

(40:20: N: P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) (146.9 cm) than treatment T<sub>1</sub>- control (128.7 cm). Among integrated nutrient management treatments significantly higher plant height was observed in treatment T<sub>10</sub>-100% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (157.9 cm), T<sub>9</sub>-100% RDF + 15 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (154.4 cm), T<sub>8</sub>-75% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (143.9 cm), T<sub>7</sub> -75% RDF + 15 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (141.8 cm), T<sub>6</sub>-50% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (139.9 cm), T<sub>5</sub>-50% RDF + 15 t FYM ha-1 (137.2 cm) than treatment T<sub>1</sub>control (128.7 cm). The data revealed that the maximum plant height was observed in treatment T<sub>10</sub>-100% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (157.9 cm) which was at par with T<sub>9</sub>-100% RDF + 15 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (154.4 cm.), T<sub>4</sub> -150%RDF (152.3 cm) and minimum in treatment T<sub>1</sub>- control (128.7 cm) was observed. This may be due to adequate supply of FYM and inorganic fertilizers facilitates the prolonged availability of nutrients in the soil, thereby promoting efficient nutrient utilization by plants and resulting in increased plant height. Similar results were also recorded by Bisht et al. [17]; Bijani et al., [18]; Kaur and Singh [19].

## 3.1.2 Data regarding number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup> and chlorophyll content were shown in Table 2

Number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup>: Plant branches provide canopy architecture to the plant and is a major yield contributing factors. At 75 DAS, increase in number of branches plant-1 with treatment  $T_{10}$  -100% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (12.3) which was at par with T<sub>9</sub>-100% RDF + 15 t FYM ha-1 (11.7). At 100 DAS, increase in number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup> with treatment T<sub>10</sub>-100% RDF + 20 t FYM ha-1 (13.11) which was at par with T9-100% RDF + 15 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (12.8) and T<sub>4</sub>-150% RDF (12.5). At 125 DAS, increase in number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup> with treatment  $T_{10}$ -100% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (15.7) which was at par with  $T_9$  -100% RDF + 15 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (15). At harvest, with the increase in RDF, the number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup> was increased and significantly higher number of branches plant-1 was observed in treatment T<sub>4</sub> -150% RDF (15.67), T<sub>3</sub> -125% RDF (15.30) and T2-100% RDF (40:20: N: P2O5 kg ha-<sup>1</sup>) (15.00) than treatment  $T_1$ - control (12.05). Among integrated nutrient management treatments significantly higher number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup> was observed in treatment T<sub>10</sub> -100% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (17.15), T<sub>9</sub> -100% RDF + 15 t FYM ha-1 (16.00), T8-75% RDF + 20 t FYM ha-1 (15.03), T<sub>7</sub>-75% RDF + 15 t FYM ha-1 (14.33), T<sub>6</sub>-50% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (14.30), T<sub>5</sub>

-50% RDF + 15 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (13.38) than treatment T<sub>1</sub>- control (12.05). The data revealed that the maximum number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup> was observed in treatment T<sub>10</sub>-100% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (17.15) which was at par with T<sub>9</sub>-100% RDF + 15 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (16.00) and minimum in treatment T<sub>1</sub>- control (12.05) was observed. This may be due to adequate supply of FYM and organic fertilizers often results in a balance supply of nutrients which is crucial for overall plant health and development including branch formation. Similar results were also recorded by Mandal and Sinha [20]; Bijarnia et al., [21].

Chlorophyll content (µmol m<sup>-2</sup>): Chlorophyll content is an essential parameter in the photosynthesis process determining leaf spectral variation in visible brand. It is estimated by using a SPAD meter. At 75 DAS. increase in chlorophyll content with treatment  $T_{10}$  -100% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (48.73) which was at par with T<sub>9</sub>-100% RDF + 15 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (47.00). At 100 DAS, increase in chlorophyll content with treatment T<sub>10</sub>-100% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (52.83) which was at par with T<sub>9</sub>-100% RDF + 15 t FYM ha-1 (52.13) and T<sub>4</sub> -150% RDF (51.20). At 125 DAS, with the increase in RDF, chlorophyll content was increased and significantly higher chlorophyll content was observed in treatment T<sub>4</sub> -150% RDF (54.03), T<sub>3</sub>-125% RDF (53.29) and  $T_2$  -100% RDF (40:20: N: P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) (50.16) than treatment  $T_1$ - control (45.00). Among integrated nutrient management treatments significantly higher chlorophyll content was observed in treatment T<sub>10</sub> -100% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (55.74), T<sub>9</sub>-100% RDF + 15 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (54.43), T<sub>8</sub>-75% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (49.09), T<sub>7</sub> -75% RDF + 15 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (48.14) than treatment T<sub>1</sub>- control (45.00). The chlorophyll content in treatment T<sub>5</sub>-50% RDF + 15 t FYM ha<sup>-</sup> <sup>1</sup> (46.47) and T<sub>6</sub> -50% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (47.10) found statistically at par with treatment T<sub>1</sub>- control (45.00). The data revealed that maximum chlorophyll content was observed in treatment T<sub>10</sub> -100% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (55.74) which was at par with  $T_9$ -100% RDF + 15 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (54.43), T<sub>4</sub>-150% RDF (54.03) and minimum in treatment T<sub>1</sub>- control (45.00) was observed. This may be due to balance supply of essential nutrients from inorganic fertilizers and improved soil health from FYM which collectively enhanced photosynthetic efficiency and chlorophyll synthesis in the plant. Similar results were also recorded by Kumawat et al., [22]; Bijarnia et al. [21].

Treatments	Treatment combinations	Plant population	Plant height (cm)				
		at harvest (m <sup>-2</sup> )	75 DAS	100 DAS	125 DAS	At harvest	
T <sub>1</sub>	Control	13.95	60.9	90.7	124.6	128.7	
T <sub>2</sub>	100% RDF (40:20: N: P₂O₅ kg ha⁻¹)	17.30	72.2	110.6	143.9	146.9	
T <sub>3</sub>	125% RDF	20.51	74.7	113.7	147.0	150.9	
T <sub>4</sub>	150%RDF	21.08	77.2	116.3	149.6	152.3	
T <sub>5</sub>	50% RDF + 15 t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup>	15.45	64	100.9	134.2	137.2	
T <sub>6</sub>	50% RDF + 20 t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup>	15.65	66.1	103	136.5	139.9	
T <sub>7</sub>	75% RDF + 15 t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup>	16.96	68.3	105.2	138.6	141.8	
T <sub>8</sub>	75% RDF + 20 t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup>	17.10	71.5	107.5	140.7	143.9	
T9	100% RDF + 15 t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup>	21.33	78.2	118.6	151.9	154.4	
T <sub>10</sub>	100% RDF + 20 t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup>	21.79	80.5	122.3	155.7	157.9	
C.D. (p=0.05)		2.070	4.59	6.69	6.31	5.73	
SE(m)±		0.691	2.03	2.96	2.79	2.65	
C.V.		6.611	8.91	8.56	5.89	5.91	

## Table 1. Performance of Gobhi Sarson under integrated nutrient management on plant population and plant height of Gobhi Sarson (*Brassica napus* L.)

Table 2. Performance of Gobhi Sarson under integrated nutrient management on number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup> and chlorophyll content of Gobhi Sarson (*Brassica napus* L.)

Treatments	Treatment combinations	Number of branches plant <sup>-1</sup>				Chlorophyll content (µmol m <sup>-2</sup> )		
		75 DAS	100 DAS	125 DAS	At harvest	75 DAS	100 DAS	125 DAS
T <sub>1</sub>	Control	8.3	9.55	11.2	12.05	41.6	44.00	45.00
T <sub>2</sub>	100% RDF (40:20: N: P₂O₅ kg ha⁻¹)	10.9	11.9	14.03	15.00	44.69	49.17	50.16
T₃	125% RDF	11.2	12.2	14.6	15.30	46.20	50.23	53.29
<b>T</b> 4	150%RDF	11.48	12.5	14.8	15.67	46.73	51.20	54.03
T <sub>5</sub>	50% RDF + 15 t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup>	9.32	10.67	12.45	13.38	42.60	45.17	46.47
T <sub>6</sub>	50% RDF + 20 t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup>	8.88	10.8	13.3	14.30	42.89	46.10	47.10
<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	75% RDF + 15 t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup>	10.2	11.3	13.7	14.33	43.71	47.13	48.14
T <sub>8</sub>	75% RDF + 20 t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup>	10.7	11.7	14.1	15.03	44.14	48.20	49.09
Т9	100% RDF + 15 t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup>	11.7	12.8	15	16.00	47.00	52.13	54.43
<b>T</b> <sub>10</sub>	100% RDF + 20 t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup>	12.3	13.11	15.7	17.15	48.73	52.83	55.74
C.D. (p=0.05)		0.80	0.74	0.96	1.16	1.78	2.07	2.41
SE(m)±		0.36	0.33	0.43	0.52	0.80	0.93	1.08
C.V.		10.77	8.93	9.76	10.92	5.65	5.96	6.82

Treatments	Treatment combinations	Number of siliquae plant <sup>-1</sup>	Siliqua length (cm)	Number of seeds siliqua <sup>-1</sup>	1000 seed weight (g)	Seed yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Straw yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Harvest index (%)
T <sub>1</sub>	Control	182.3	6.717	17.00	2.49	10.49	37.21	22.00
T <sub>2</sub>	100% RDF (40:12: N: P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	260.0	7.770	20.32	3.00	16.88	46.33	26.70
	kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )							
T <sub>3</sub>	125% RDF	270.0	7.967	20.67	3.10	18.01	49.52	26.80
T <sub>4</sub>	150%RDF	280.0	8.030	21.00	3.22	19.50	51.67	27.40
<b>T</b> 5	50% RDF + 15 t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup>	203.0	7.550	18.67	2.60	12.06	40.29	23.00
T <sub>6</sub>	50% RDF + 20 t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup>	221.0	7.613	19.00	2.70	13.15	42.05	23.84
T <sub>7</sub>	75% RDF + 15 t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup>	223.0	7.637	19.31	2.80	14.31	44.08	24.50
T <sub>8</sub>	75% RDF + 20 t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup>	253.7	7.673	20.00	2.90	15.67	45.72	25.90
T <sub>9</sub>	100% RDF + 15 t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup>	283.0	8.100	21.50	3.30	20.65	53.62	27.80
<b>T</b> 10	100% RDF + 20 t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup>	291.0	8.423	23.01	3.45	23.45	59.04	28.80
C.D. at 5%		30.105	0.695	2.274	0.372	3.030	5.642	2.634
SE(m)		10.055	0.232	0.759	0.124	1.012	2.665	0.880
C.V.		7.059	5.189	6.562	7.271	10.66	6.947	5.934

Table 3. Performance of Gobhi Sarson under integrated nutrient management on yield attributes viz. number of siliquae plant<sup>-1</sup>, siliqua length, number of seeds siliqua<sup>-1</sup>, test weight, seed yield, straw yield and harvest index

### 3.2 Yield and Yield Attributes

# 3.2.1 Data regarding number of siliquae plant<sup>-1</sup>, siliqua length, number of seeds siliqua<sup>-1</sup>, test weight, seed yield, straw yield and harvest index were shown in Table 3

Number of siliquae plant-1: The number of siliquae refers to the total count of siliquae produced by an individual plant. With the increase in RDF, number of siliqua plant<sup>-1</sup> was increased and significantly higher number of siliqua plant<sup>-1</sup> was observed in treatment T<sub>4</sub> -150% RDF (280.0), T<sub>3</sub>-125% RDF (270.0) and T<sub>2</sub>-100% RDF (40:20: N: P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) (260.0) than treatment T<sub>1</sub>- control (182.3). Among integrated nutrient management treatments significantly higher number of siligua plant<sup>-1</sup> was observed in treatment T<sub>10</sub> -100% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (291.0), T<sub>9</sub>-100% RDF + 15 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (283.0), T<sub>8</sub>-75% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (253.7), T<sub>7</sub> -75% RDF + 15 t FYM ha-1 (223.0) and T<sub>6</sub>-50% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (221.0) than treatment  $T_{1}$ control (182.3). The number of siligua plant<sup>-1</sup> in treatment T<sub>5</sub>-50% RDF + 15 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (203.0) found statistically at par with treatment T<sub>1</sub>control (182.3). The data revealed that maximum number of siliqua plant-1 was observed in treatment T<sub>10</sub>-100% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (291.0) which was at par with T<sub>9</sub>-100% RDF + 15 t FYM ha-1 (283.0), T<sub>4</sub>-150% RDF (280.0) and minimum in treatment T<sub>1</sub>- control (182.3) was observed. This may be due to adequate supply of FYM and inorganic fertilizers leading to increased flower formation and subsequent siliquae production. Similar results were also recorded by Mandal and Sinha [20], Bijani et al., [18].

Siligua length (cm): With the increase in RDF, the siligua length was increased and significantly higher siliqua length was observed in treatment T<sub>4</sub>-150% RDF (8.030 cm), T<sub>3</sub>-125% RDF (7.967 cm) and T<sub>2</sub>-100% RDF (40:20: N: P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) (7.770 cm) than treatment  $T_1$ - control (6.717 cm). Among integrated nutrient management treatments significantly higher siliqua length was observed in treatment T<sub>10</sub> -100% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (8.423 cm), T<sub>9</sub>-100% RDF + 15 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (8.100 cm), T<sub>8</sub> -75% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (7.673 cm), T<sub>7</sub>-75% RDF + 15 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (7.637 cm), T<sub>6</sub> -50% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (7.613 cm) and T<sub>5</sub>-50% RDF + 15 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (7.550 cm) than treatment  $T_1$ - control (6.717 cm). The data revealed that maximum siligua length was observed in treatment T<sub>10</sub> -100% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (8.423 cm) which was at par with T<sub>9</sub>- 100% RDF + 15 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (8.100 cm), T<sub>4</sub>-150% RDF (8.030 cm), T<sub>3</sub>-125% RDF (7.967 cm) and minimum in treatment T<sub>1</sub>- control (6.717 cm) was observed. This may be due to adequate supply of FYM and inorganic fertilizers ensures a balanced supply of nutrient, soil fertility and leading to better yield attributes such as siliqua length. Similar results were also recorded by Prasad et al., [23].

Number of seeds siliqua<sup>-1</sup>: Number of seeds siliqua-1 is also one of the major yields contributing character. With the increase in RDF, number of seeds siliqua-1 was increased and significantly higher number of seeds siligua<sup>-1</sup> was observed in treatment T<sub>4</sub>-150% RDF (21.00), T<sub>3</sub>-125% RDF (20.67) and T2-100% RDF (40:20: N:  $P_2O_5$  kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) (20.32) than treatment T<sub>1</sub>- control (17.00). Among integrated nutrient management treatments significantly higher number of seeds siliqua<sup>-1</sup> was observed in treatment  $T_{10}$  -100% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (23.01), T<sub>9</sub>-100% RDF + 15 t FYM ha-1 (21.50), T8-75% RDF + 20 t FYM ha-1 (20.00) than treatment T<sub>1</sub>- control (17.00). The number of seeds siliqua-1 in treatment T<sub>7</sub> -75% RDF + 15 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (19.31), T<sub>6</sub>-50% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (19.00) and T<sub>5</sub>-50% RDF + 15 t FYM ha-1 (18.67) found statistically at par with treatment T<sub>1</sub>- control (17.00). The data revealed that maximum number of seeds siliqua-1 was observed in treatment T<sub>10</sub> -100% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (23.01) which was at par with  $T_9$ -100% RDF + 15 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (21.50), T<sub>4</sub> -150% RDF (21.00) and minimum in treatment T<sub>1</sub>- control (17.00) was observed. This may be due to adequate supply of FYM and inorganic fertilizers enhance reproductive processes, ensure seed development and contributing to productive siliqua development. Similar results were also recorded by Kumar et al., [24], Prasad et al., [23].

1000 seed weight (g): 1000 seed weight is an essential parameter as it gives an indication of seed chemical composition, seed dampness, insects infestation and seed maturation. With the increase in RDF, 1000 seed weight was increased and significantly higher 1000 seed weight was observed in treatment T<sub>4</sub>-150% RDF (3.22 g), T<sub>3</sub> -125% RDF (3.10 g) and T<sub>2</sub> -100% RDF (40:20: N: P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) (3.00 g) than treatment T<sub>1</sub>- control (2.49 g). Among integrated nutrient management treatments significantly higher 1000 seed weight was observed in treatment T<sub>10</sub>-100% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (3.45 g), T<sub>9</sub>-100% RDF + 15 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (3.30 g) and T<sub>8</sub> -75% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (2.90 g) than treatment T<sub>1</sub>- control (2.40 g). The 1000 seed weight in treatment T<sub>7</sub>-75% RDF + 15 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (2.80 g), T<sub>6</sub>-50% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (2.70 g) and T<sub>5</sub>-50% RDF + 15 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (2.60 g) found statistically at par with treatment T<sub>1</sub>- control (2.49 g). The data revealed that maximum 1000 seed weight was observed in treatment T<sub>10</sub> -100% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (3.45 g) which was at par with T<sub>9</sub>-100% RDF + 15 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (3.30 g), T<sub>4</sub>-150% RDF (3.22 g) and minimum in treatment T<sub>1</sub>- control (2.49 g) was observed. This may be due to adequate supply of FYM and inorganic fertilizers overall plant growth which collectively contribute to higher quality seed with better 1000 seed weight. Similar results were also recorded by Kumar et al. [24], Prasad et al. [23].

Seed yield (q ha<sup>-1</sup>): Seed yield is the harvested production per unit of harvested area of crop production and is net result of various agronomic inputs influencing growth and yield attributing characters during life cycle of crop. With the increase in RDF, the seed yield was increased and significantly higher seed yield was observed in treatment T<sub>4</sub> -150% RDF (19.50 q ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>3</sub> -125% RDF (18.01 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>2</sub> -100% RDF (40:20: N: P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) (16.88 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) than treatment T<sub>1</sub>- control (10.49 q ha<sup>-1</sup>). Among the integrated nutrient management treatments significantly higher seed yield was observed in treatment T<sub>10</sub>-100% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (23.45 q ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>9</sub>-100% RDF + 15 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (20.65 q ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>8</sub>-75% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (15.67 g ha<sup>-1</sup> <sup>1</sup>), T<sub>7</sub> -75% RDF + 15 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (14.31 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) than treatment  $T_1$ - control (10.49 g ha<sup>-1</sup>). The seed yield in treatment T<sub>5</sub>-50% RDF + 15 t FYM ha-1 (12.06 q ha-1) and T<sub>6</sub>-50% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (13.15 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) found statistically at par with treatment T<sub>1</sub>- control. The data revealed that maximum seed yield was observed in treatment T<sub>10</sub> -100% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (23.45 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was significantly higher than  $T_9$  -100% RDF + 15 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (20.65 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) and minimum in treatment T<sub>1</sub>- control (10.49 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) was observed. The increased in yield attributes to increase in supply of nutrients as well as micronutrients and organic + inorganic source which lead to more certain of photosynthesis and their translocation from source and sink. Similar results were also recorded by Mandal and Sinha [20], Ratanoo et al. [25].

**Straw yield (q ha**<sup>-1</sup>): Straw yield is the measure of the vegetative growth under the various treatments. With the increase in RDF, straw yield was increased and significantly higher straw yield was observed in treatment T<sub>4</sub>-150% RDF (51.67 q ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>3</sub> -125% RDF (49.52 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>2</sub> -

100% RDF (40:20: N: P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) (46.33 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) than treatment  $T_1$ - control (37.21 g ha<sup>-1</sup>). Among integrated nutrient management treatments significantly higher straw yield was observed in treatment T<sub>10</sub>-100% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (59.04 q ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>9</sub>-100% RDF + 15 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (53.62 q ha-1), T<sub>8</sub>-75% RDF + 20 t FYM ha-1 (45.72 g ha-1) and T<sub>7</sub>-75% RDF + 15 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (44.08 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) than treatment  $T_1$ - control (37.21 g ha<sup>-1</sup>). The straw yield in treatment T<sub>6</sub>-50% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (42.05 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>5</sub>-50% RDF + 15 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (40.29 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) found statistically at par with treatment T<sub>1</sub>- control (37.21 q ha<sup>-1</sup>). The data revealed that maximum straw yield was observed in treatment T<sub>10</sub> -100% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (59.04 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was at par with T<sub>9</sub> -100% RDF + 15 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (53.62 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and minimum in treatment T<sub>1</sub>- control (37.21 q ha-1) was observed. This may be due to the adequate supply of FYM and inorganic fertilizers enhance the vegetative growth, resulting in potentially higher straw yield. Similar results were also recorded by Mandal and Sinha [20], Kumar et al. [24].

Harvest index (%): Harvest index is one of the factors that contributes in improvement of any crop to a certain extent. With the increase in RDF, straw yield was increased and significantly higher harvest index was observed in treatment T<sub>4</sub>-150% RDF (27.40 %), T<sub>3</sub>-125% RDF (26.80 %) and T<sub>2</sub> -100% RDF (40:20: N: P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) (26.70%) than treatment  $T_1$ - control (22.00 %). Amona integrated nutrient management treatments significantly higher harvest index was observed in treatment T<sub>10</sub> -100% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (28.80 %), T<sub>9</sub>-100% RDF + 15 t FYM ha-1 (27.80 %) and T<sub>8</sub>-75% RDF + 20 t FYM ha-1 (25.90 %) than treatment T<sub>1</sub>- control (22.00 %). The harvest index in treatment T<sub>7</sub>-75% RDF + 15 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (24.50 %), T<sub>6</sub>-50% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (23.84 %) and T<sub>5</sub>-50% RDF + 15 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (23.00 %) found statistically at par with treatment T<sub>1</sub>- control (22.00 %). The data revealed that maximum harvest index was observed in treatment T<sub>10</sub>-100% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (28.80 %) which was at par with T<sub>9</sub>-100% RDF + 15 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (27.80 %), T<sub>4</sub>-150% RDF (27.40 %), T<sub>3</sub> -125% RDF (26.80 %) and minimum in treatment T<sub>1</sub>- control (22,00 %) was observed. Similar results were also recorded by Bijarnia et al. [21,26,27].

### 4. CONCLUSION

From this investigation, the combined application of  $T_{10}$ -100% RDF+ 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> was performed

more effective than all other treatments. It may be concluded that the combination of  $T_{10}$ -100% RDF + 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> enhanced the overall growth, yield and yield attributes of Gobhi Sarson. This integrated approach has the potential to improve crop productivity and reduce inadequate used of fertilizers. Therefore, farmers cultivating rapeseed are recommended to adopt this treatment combination to improve overall crop performance.

## DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of this manuscript.

## **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCE

- 1. Abiodun OA. The role of oilseed crops in human diet and industrial use. Oilseed crops: Yield and adaptations under environmental stress. 2017;249.
- Banerjee A, Datta JK, Mondal NK. Impact of different combined doses of fertilizers with plant growth regulators on growth, yield attributes and yield of mustard (*Brassica campestris* cv. B9) under old alluvial soil Burdwan, West Bengal. Front Agric China. 2010;4:341-351.
- 3. Li X, Yu X, Yang h, Wang J, Li Z, Bai C, Wang J, Wang B, Zhou G and K J. Physiological response mechanism of oilseed rape to abiotic stress and the stress-resistant cultivation regulation. Responses of Crop Plants to Climate Change. 2022;207-234.
- 4. ICAR-Directorate of Rapeseed-Mustard Research. (India Council of Agricultural Research) Sewars, Bharatpur 321303 (Rajasthan), India; 2021.
- 5. Agricultural Statistics at a Glance. Area, production and yield of rapeseed-mustard along with coverage under irrigation during 2020-21 and 2021-22. Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Department of Agriculture, Cooperation and Farmers Welfare, Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers, Government of India.2022;53.

- 6. Anonymous. Package of practices for crop of Punjab. Ludhiana, India: Punjab Agricultural University. 2023-24;22-32.
- Rathore SS, Shekhawat K, Rajanna GA. Land configurations in surface drip irrigation for enhancing productivity, profitability and water-use efficiency of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) under semi-arid conditions. Ind J Agri Sci. 2020;90(8):1538-43.
- Geng G, Cakmak I, Ren T, Lu Z,Lu J. Effect of magnesium fertilization on seed yield, seed quality, carbon assimilation and nutrient uptake of rapeseed plants. Field Crops Res 2021;264:108082.
- 9. Tripathi MK, Chaturvedi S, Shukla DK, Mahapatra BS. Yield performance and quality in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) as affected by integrated nutrient management. Ind J Agro 2010;55(2):138-142.
- Arbad BK, Ismail S. Effect of integrated nutrient management on soybean (*Glycine max*) - safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius*) cropping system. Ind J Agron. 2011;56: 340-345.
- 11. Prasad J, Karmakar S, Kumar R and Mishra B. Influence of integrated nutrient on yield and soil properties in maize in an alfisol of Jharkhand J Ind Soc Soil Sci. 2017;58(2):200-04.
- 12. FAO. Integrated plant nutrition system FAO Fertilizer and Plant Nutrition Bulletin No. 12. Rome .1995;426.
- Shekhawat K, Rathore SS, Premi OP, Kandpal BK, Chauhan JS. Advances in agronomic management of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czernj. Cosson): an overview. Intl J Agro ;2012.
- Gupta V, Sharma A, Kumar J, Abrol V, Singh B and Singh M. Effects of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of maize (*Zea mays* L.)-Gobhi sarson (*Brassica napus* L.) cropping system in sub-tropical region under foothills of northwest Himalayas. Bangladesh J Bot. 2014; 43(2):147-155.
- Selim MM, Al-Owied AJA. Genotypic responses of pearl millet to integrated nutrient management. Bioscience Research. 2017;14(2):156-169.
- 16. Deekshith HN, Mankotia BS, Manuj S. Effect of nutrients management practices on growth and yield parameters of Gobhi Sarson (*Brassica napus spp. napus*). Int J Plant Soil Sci. 2023;1458-1466.

- Bisht S, Saxena AK, Singh S. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) cultivar T-9 under Dehradun region (Uttarakhand). Int J Chem Stud. 2018;1856-1859.
- Bijani H, Sharma SK, Baghla D. Growth and yield of gobhi sarson as influenced by irrigation and nutrient management practices under conservation tillage. Int J Agri Environ Biotechnol 2022;15:455-460.
- 19. Kaur H, Singh G. Response of organic and inorganic sources of nutrients on growth and yield of turnip (*Brassica rapa* L.). J Rural Agri Res. 2022;22(2):70-72.
- 20. Mandal KG and Sinha AC Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield, oil content and nutrient uptake of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) in foothills soils of eastern India. Ind J Agro. 47(1):47-1.
- Bijarnia AL, Yadav RS, Rathore PS, Singh SP, Saharan B, Choudhary R. Effect of integrated nutrient management and weed control measures on growth and yield attributes of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). J Pharmacogn Phytochem. 2017;6(4):483-488.
- 22. Kumawat AMT, Pareek BL, Yadav RS, Rathore PS. Effect of integrated nutrient

management on growth, yield, quality and nutrient uptake of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) in arid zone of Rajasthan. Ind J Agro. 2014;59(1):60-5.

- 23. Prasad S, Mahapatra BS, Singh PS, Kumar A, Negi SM, Gaurav V. Effect of INM practices on productivity, profitability and quality of Indian mustard. Ind J Agri Sci. 2020;91(5):789-9.
- 24. Kumar A, Thakur AS, Sharma S. Integrated nutrient management in maize (*Zea mays*)- gobhi sarson (*Brassica napus ssp oleifera var. annua*) cropping system under rainfed condition. Ind J Agro. 2005;50(4).
- Ratanoo R, Walia SS, Saini KS, Dheri GS. Effect of biofertilizers, graded levels of chemical fertilizers and FYM on soil properties and yield of gobhi sarson (*Brassica napus* L.) J Pharm Innov. 2021;10(7):10-7.
- 26. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for agricultural workers. Indian Council of Agricultural Research Publication. 1985;87-89.
- 27. Sheoran OP, Tonk DS, Kaushik LS, Hasija RC and Pannu RS. Statistical software package for agricultural research workers. Department of Mathematics Statistics, CCS HAU, Hisar, 1998;139-143.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/122340