

Asian Journal of Medicine and Health

Volume 22, Issue 7, Page 127-139, 2024; Article no.AJMAH.118774 ISSN: 2456-8414

Does Socioeconomic Class and Educational Level of Orthodontic Patients have any Impact on their Satisfaction with Treatment duration, Perception and Attitude Towards Accelerated Orthodontics?

Chukwudi O. Onyeaso ^{a++*}, Babatope Bamidele Osagbemiro ^{b#}, Chinyere Ututu ^{c†}, Emmanuel Chukwuma ^{c†}, Marian Orikpete ^{c†}, Benjamin O. Okino ^{c‡} and Chikamaram O. Okino ^{d^}

^a Department of Orthodontics and Paediatric Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, College of Health Sciences, University of Port Harcourt / University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital, Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria.

^b Department of Community Dentistry and Periodontology, Faculty of Dentistry, College of Health Sciences, University of Port Harcourt / University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital, Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria.

^c Department of Orthodontics and Paediatric Dentistry, Dental Centre, University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital, Nigeria.

^d Department of Oral Pathology and Oral Medicine, Dental Centre, University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital, Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/ajmah/2024/v22i71053

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/118774

Cite as: Onyeaso, Chukwudi O., Babatope Bamidele Osagbemiro, Chinyere Ututu, Emmanuel Chukwuma, Marian Orikpete, Benjamin O. Okino, and Chikamaram O. Okino. 2024. "Does Socioeconomic Class and Educational Level of Orthodontic Patients Have Any Impact on Their Satisfaction With Treatment Duration, Perception and Attitude Towards Accelerated Orthodontics?". Asian Journal of Medicine and Health 22 (7):127-39. https://doi.org/10.9734/ajmah/2024/v22i71053.

⁺⁺ Professor of Orthodontics / Consultant Orthodontist;

[#] Senior Lecturer / Consultant Periodontologist;

[†] Senior Registrar in Orthodontics;

[‡] Registrar in Orthodontics;

[^] Registrar in Oral Medicine;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: chukwudi.onyeaso@uniport.edu.ng;

Original Research Article

Received: 07/04/2024 Accepted: 11/06/2024 Published: 18/06/2024

ABSTRACT

Background: There is growing attention globally on the satisfaction of orthodontic patients about the duration of orthodontic treatment, and the importance of accelerated orthodontics but more information is needed concerning factors that could influence the patients.

Aim: To investigate possible influence of socioeconomic factors on their satisfaction with duration of orthodontic treatment, as well as their perception and attitude towards accelerated orthodontics.

Materials and Methods: A 15-month clinic-based survey of all orthodontic patients at a Nigerian Teaching Hospital was carried out using a self-administered questionnaire that sought information on their satisfaction with the duration of conventional orthodontic treatment and their perception and attitude towards accelerated orthodontics. Using SPSS IBM Version 25, the data was analyzed and both descriptive, student's t-test and ANOVA statistics were used to test the hypotheses. The significance level was set at P < .05.

Results: Social class did not show any significant associations with satisfaction with duration of orthodontic treatment and most of the variables for perception and attitudes to accelerated orthodontics (P > .05), except for only two. Generally, patients' educational level did not give any significant associations with either satisfaction with duration of orthodontic treatment or their perception and attitudes towards accelerated orthodontics (P > .05).

Conclusion and Recommendation: Socioeconomic status of the patients neither significantly influenced their satisfaction with the duration of orthodontic treatment nor their perception and attitudes towards accelerated orthodontics. Further similar research would be worthwhile.

Keywords: Socioeconomic class; influence; orthodontic patients; satisfaction; perception, attitudes; accelerated orthodontics.

1. INTRODUCTION

According to Gandedkar et al [1], the potential to revolutionize orthodontic tooth movement (OTM) by accelerating tooth movement is undeniable. However, a cautious and evidence-based approach is paramount. Rigorous research into both the benefits and risks, coupled with the development of targeted and safe delivery systems, is essential before integrating invasive, non-invasive, and pharmacological agents into routine clinical practice. Only then can we unlock the true potential of accelerated orthodontics (AO) techniques for faster, yet safe, orthodontic treatment, ultimately benefiting patients seeking a streamlined journey.

Researchers have explored numerous methods for speeding up tooth movement such as the mechanical/physical modalities like the following non-invasive methods - resonance vibration, photobiomodulations (low-level laser therapy and light-emitting diodes), magnetic fields, platelet rich plasma (PRP) [2-23,24-27], and the invasive (surgery) methods [28-46] such as corticotomy, osteotomy, piezoincision, micro-osteoperforation (MOP), periodontally assisted osteogenic orthodontics (PAOO), corticotomy assisted osteogenic orthodontic treatment (CAOOT) and dental distraction (dentoalveolar distraction non-mechanical osteogenesis). The /non physical (drugs) so far used include the calcium, vitamin D3, parathyroid, prostaglandins, corticosteroids. osteocalcin. cytokines and relaxin [47-50].

Some of the scope and need of accelerated orthodontics (AO) have been enumerated [1] such as that it minimizes the impact of treatment on lifestyle and improves patient satisfaction, reduces chair time for both patient and orthodontist, allowing for increased patient volume, expands the scope of orthodontic care to address more challenging clinical situations, providing orthodontists with more tools to achieve optimal results in less time, promotion of positive patient experiences and enhances overall satisfaction with orthodontic care. While appreciating this great future of AO, it is important to note that there are still challenges or gaps that more research activities would help to address in relation to investigating the long-term effects of different AO techniques on root morphology and function. developing standardized protocols for applying AO techniques to minimize root resorption, exploring the potential of combined approaches with other treatment modalities to optimize tooth movement while minimizing potential risks, and conducting research on individual susceptibility to root resorption to develop personalized treatment strategies [1].

In addition to the aforementioned research gaps needing solution for maximum benefits from AO is more information on the factors that could influence the acceptance and usage of AO by orthodontic patients. Socioeconomic status is the position or standing of a person or group in a society as determined by a combination of social and economic factors that affect access to education and other resources crucial to an individual's upward mobility. Low socioeconomic status is linked to many health risks, including cardiovascular disease [51]. Meanwhile, there are limited related published data on this among orthodontic patients in the global orthodontics community generally and particularly in Africa [52-55].

Therefore, this study aimed at assessing the possible influence of socioeconomic status of the patients'on their satisfaction with the duration of conventional orthodontic treatment. their perception and attitude toward accelerated orthodontics. It was hypothesized that: (1) there would not be any statistically significant influence of their social class (occupation) and educational level on their satisfaction with the duration of conventional orthodontic treatment: and (2) there would not be any statistically significant influence of their social class (occupation) and educational level on their perception and attitude toward accelerated orthodontics.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study Design

A self-administered questionnaire survey of cross-sectional and prospective orthodontic patients in a Federal Teaching Hospital (Orthodontics Clinic of the University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital) in the Niger Delta Region of Nigeria was carried out.

2.2 Sampling / Data Collection

This clinic-based survey of orthodontic patients was carried out between March, 2023 and May, 2024. One hundred and twenty-five (117) patients -50(42.7%) males and 67(57.3%) females filled and returned the questionnaire.

2.3 Social Classification (Occupation) and Educational Level of Patients

The social classification of the subjects was based on the registrar general's social class [56] as previously reported by Onyeaso [57] (Appendix I). However, this was slightly modified in the present report by having the students as a third group. The educational level of the patients or their parents (for minors) was categorized into primary school certificate, secondary school certificate, first degree or postgraduate degree. Appendix II is the sample of the questionnaire used in the study.

Weiahtina of the Responses bv the Participants: For satisfaction with the duration of conventional orthodontic treatment: Verv satisfied received a score of 1, Very dissatisfied was allotted 5. For question 8 (Q8): 1= most willing, 5= least willing; For question 9 (Q9):1=0%-10%; 5=Greater than 40% For question 10 (Q10): 1 = Increase in fees by 10%, 5 =Increase in fees by 50%.

2.4 Null Hypotheses

The following null hypotheses were generated and tested:

Ho1 - that there would not be any statistically significant association between the satisfaction of orthodontic patients with duration of orthodontic treatment and their social class (occupation).

Ho2 - that there would not be any statistically significant association between their perception and attitude towards accelerated orthodontics and their social class (occupation).

Ho3 - that there would not be any statistically significant association between their satisfaction with duration of orthodontic treatment and their educational level.

Ho4 - that there would not be any statistically significant association between their perception and attitude towards accelerated orthodontics and their educational level.

2.5 Data Analysis

Using the SPSS version 25, the whole data was analysed descriptively, as well as using student's t-test and one-way ANOVA statistics to test the hypotheses. The significance level was set at P < .05.

3. RESULTS

Out of the 117 patients who were served the questionnaire during the study period, 20 (17.1%) had primary school certificates, 33

		Satisfied wit	h duration of active orthodontic treatment	t-test	p-value
		Mean	(SD)		
Occupation	Middle class	2.31	(1.21)	1.841	0.163
	Working class	2.50	(1.24)		
	Student	1.94	(1.18)		
Academic Qualification	Primary school certificate	1.90	(1.21)		
	O' level	1.85	(1.09)	2.177	.095
	University Degree	2.46	(1.22)		
	Postgraduate Degree	1.94	(1.21)		

Table 1. Statistical analysis of the satisfaction of the patients with duration of conventional orthodontic treatment using one-way ANOVA

Table 2. One-way ANOVA of the perception and attitude of the patients towards accelerated orthodontics with respect to their social class (occupation)

	Occupation					F(ANOVA)	P-value	
	Middle	class	Working	class	Student		· · ·	
	Mean	(SD)	Mean	(SD)	Mean	(SD)		
Q8								
Use of some medication	2.46	(1.17)	3.00	(1.41)	3.07	(1.23)	2.958	.056
Administration of biological substance	2.57	(1.20)	2.75	(1.14)	3.13	(1.08)	3.054	.051
Direct light electric current	2.86	(1.09)	2.92	(1.00)	2.84	(1.06)	.025*	.975
Low level laser therapy	2.37	(.84)	2.17	(.94)	2.80	(.96)	4.039	.020*
Resonance vibration	3.15	(1.05)	3.27	(1.10)	3.12	(1.08)	.102	.903
Corticotomes	3.03	(1.07)	3.33	(.98)	3.31	(1.05)	.840	.434
Piezocision	3.06	(1.14)	3.42	(1.16)	3.40	(1.03)	1.187	.309
Q9								
Use of medication locally intraoral	3.48	(1.23)	3.18	(1.47)	4.47	(7.53)	.433	.650
Administration of biologic sub	3.30	(1.19)	3.33	(1.30)	2.94	(1.32)	1.132	.326
Direct light electric	3.24	(1.25)	2.42	(1.51)	2.97	(1.33)	1.733	.182
LLLT	3.36	(1.17)	3.00	(1.60)	3.03	(1.38)	.716	.491
Resonance vibration	3.10	(1.30)	2.82	(1.40)	2.83	(1.38)	.420	.658
Corticotomies	3.20	(1.40)	2.90	(1.66)	2.75	(1.38)	1.021	.364
Piezocision	3.17	(1.32)	2.55	(1.44)	2.73	(1.38)	1.312	.274
Q10								
Use of some medication locally intraoral	1.44	(.95)	2.10	(1.45)	1.58	(1.00)	1.568	.213
Administration of biological substance	1.34	(.79)	1.82	(.87)	2.37	(4.37)	.973	.382
Direct light electric current	1.69	(1.12)	1.91	(1.22)	1.64	(.89)	.325	.724
Low level laser therapy	1.97	(1.18)	1.40	(.70)	1.78	(1.08)	1.082	.343
Resonance vibration	1.97	(1.27)	1.70	(1.06)	1.86	(1.04)	.228	.797
Corticotomes	1.79	(1.11)	1.90	(1.52)	1.71	(1.07)	.133	.876
Piezocision	1.79	(1.18)	1.70	(1.49)	1.73	(1.03)	.041*	.960
Reduction in time	1.67	(1.15)	1.00	(.)	1.33	(.52)	.350	.716

*statistically significant associations

				Acad	demic Qualifie	cation			F(ANOVA)	P-value
	Primary	school	O'level		University	/ Degree	Postgradu	ate Degree		
	Mean	(SD)	Mean	(SD)	Mean	(SD)	Mean	(SD)		
Q8										
Use of some medication	3.20	(1.36)	2.94	(1.27)	2.63	(1.20)	3.06	(1.21)	1.190	317
Administration of biological substance	3.05	(1.39)	2.91	(1.10)	2.74	(1.00)	3.28	(1.23)	1.069	.365
Direct light electric current	2.55	(1.28)	2.85	(1.03)	2.78	(.84)	3.39	(1.20)	2.246	.087
Low level laser therapy	2.55	(.94)	2.73	(.94)	2.67	(.97)	2.28	(.89)	1.005	.394
Resonance vibration	3.20	(1.28)	2.94	(1.03)	3.24	(1.00)	3.19	(1.05)	.562	.642
Corticotomes	3.25	(1.21)	3.03	(1.07)	3.43	(.95)	3.06	(1.06)	1.075	.363
Piezocision	3.47	(1.31)	3.09	(1.04)	3.50	(.95)	3.00	(1.15)	1.508	.217
Q9										
Use of medication locally intraoral	4.47	(6.82)	3.20	(1.21)	4.78	(7.83)	2.86	(1.51)	.662	.577
Administration of biologic sub	2.72	(1.60)	3.00	(1.02)	3.33	(1.26)	3.00	(1.36)	1.117	.346
Direct light electric	2.88	(1.45)	3.10	(1.27)	3.04	(1.28)	2.71	(1.59)	.326	.807
LLLT	3.12	(1.50)	2.97	(1.33)	3.27	(1.25)	3.07	(1.54)	.311	.817
Resonance vibration	2.25	(1.57)	3.07	(1.19)	2.95	(1.27)	3.21	(1.53)	1.695	.173
Corticotomies	2.25	(1.53)	2.90	(1.24)	3.05	(1.43)	3.23	(1.54)	1.531	.212
Piezocision	2.50	(1.55)	2.90	(1.30)	2.90	(1.32)	2.92	(1.55)	.385	.764
Q10										
Use of some medication locally intraoral	1.44	(1.04)	1.53	(.97)	1.76	(1.08)	1.36	(1.08)	.759	.520
Administration of biological substance	3.18	(7.71)	1.45	(.74)	2.07	(1.89)	1.33	(.49)	1.104	.351
Direct light electric current	1.56	(.73)	1.34	(.61)	1.93	(1.17)	1.77	(1.17)	2.223	.090
Low level laser therapy	1.75	(1.18)	1.60	(.93)	1.91	(1.11)	2.00	(1.22)	.635	.594
Resonance vibration	1.80	(1.32)	1.79	(1.07)	1.93	(1.07)	2.00	(1.21)	.162	.922
Corticotomes	1.73	(1.28)	1.48	(.91)	1.90	(1.14)	2.00	(1.35)	.961	.415
Piezocision	1.67	(1.29)	1.43	(.69)	1.90	(1.14)	2.08	(1.51)	1.403	.247
Reduction in time	1.00	(.00)	1.33	(.58)	1.75	(.96)	1.00	(.) ´	.576	.652

Table 3. One-way ANOVA of the perception and attitude of the patients towards accelerated orthodontics with respect to educational level

Q8: 1= most willing, 5= least willing; Q9:1=0%-10%, 5=Greater than 40%;Q10: 1 = Increase in fees by 10%, 5 =Increase in fees by 50%

(28.2%) were secondary school certificate holders, 46(39.6%) were university first degree holders and those with postgraduate degrees accounted for 18(15.4%).Thirty five (20.9%) belong to the middle class social, 12(10.3%) working class and 70(59.8%).Table 1 provides the test of the influence of social class and educational level on the satisfaction with conventional orthodontic treatment by the patients, which reveals that neither social class (occupation) nor educational level had any statistically significant association with their satisfaction with the conventional orthodontic treatment (P > .05).

Table 2 provides the statistical analyses, with respect to their occupation, of the willingness of the patients to use any of the accelerated orthodontics procedures if 25% to 30% of treatment time would be gained, how much reduction in treatment time they would consider to undergo/give their children treatment using any accelerated orthodontics technique, and their preference for percentage increase in fee for a percentage reduction in treatment time, respectively.

Table 3 provides the statistical analyses with respect to their educational level of the willingness of the patients to use any of the accelerated orthodontics procedures, if 25% to 30% of treatment time would be gained, how much reduction in treatment time they would consider to undergo/give their children treatment using any accelerated orthodontics technique, and their preference for percentage increase in fee for a percentage reduction in treatment time, respectively.

4. DISCUSSION

This study has shown that neither the social class (occupation) nor the educational level of the orthodontic patients had any significant association with their satisfaction with the duration of conventional orthodontic treatment. This means the acceptance of the first and third null hypotheses of this study. A related earlier study in Nigeria [54] showed that no statistically significant associations were found between the dentists' satisfaction with duration of orthodontic treatment and their age, gender and years of practice as dentists (P > .05). Khaing et al [58] reported there was a correlation of treatment duration. awareness of tooth movement improvement, increased frequency of visiting to orthodontic clinic to patient's satisfaction during their treatment conditions (p < 0.05). Laothong

and Cheng [59] reported that Taiwanese and Thai patients rejected treatment because of high treatment costs and long treatment periods, respectively. A significant association was observed between household income and Thai patients' motivation (p < 0.05). In addition, household income and information resources were significantly associated with the factors affecting Taiwanese patients' motivation (p < 0.05).

This Nigerian clinic-based study has equally revealed that significant associations were found only between social classes (occupation) of the patients with their willingness to have direct light current. The working class was found to be significantly the least willing. Also, the working class was found to be significantly associated with not preferring any treatment option with increase in fee up to 20%. This suggests that despite the expected gain in treatment time from accelerated orthodontics, generally there is no significant differences concerning perception and attitude towards accelerated orthodontics on the basis of the social classes (occupation). This means that the second hypothesis of this study is largely accepted.

Concerning educational level of the patients, no single significant association was seen with perception and attitude towards accelerated orthodontics. which suggests that their educational levels could not influence their perception and attitude towards accelerated Therefore. the orthodontics. fourth null hypothesis is also accepted. It is documented that ethnicity influences motivation to seek orthodontic care [59]. Naturally, it would have been expected that the middle class should perceive such treatment procedures differently from the working class because of different financial power knowing the potential that AO holds in shortening treatment time. However, because of the harsh economic climate in Nigeria where the true middle class is being guickly eroded, if not already completely eroded, could have contributed to this finding of no significant associations of social class and educational level with their perception and attitude towards accelerated orthodontics. It is important to note orthodontic treatment is a relatively that expensive dental care globally, and accelerated would orthodontics mean some obvious additional cost on the patients, which many Nigerians might find difficult to fund due to the soaring cost of living in the country.Khaing et al [58] reported that economic status was the main factor affecting Thai patients, whereas many

factors affected Taiwanese patients' decision to seek orthodontic treatment.

Another recent similar study from Nigeria reported that generally the dentists' demographics did not have significant influence on their perception of accelerated orthodontics, and further related studies are advocated [54].

Strengths and Limitations of the Study: In addition to being a clinic-based study in a referral hospital which provides a fair spread of orthodontic patients, the study is the first of such investigation from Africa. However, it must be noted that it was one-time survey that was conducted only once because of the intention to get information about their opinions, attitudes, and behaviours. Also, the survey did not give recognition to the varying malocclusion complexities necessitating different treatment durations.

5. CONCLUSION

- Social class (occupation) of the orthodontic patients did not show any significant associations with their satisfaction with duration of conventional orthodontic treatment.
- The social class of the patients generally did not have any significant associations with their perception and attitude towards accelerated orthodontics, except in only two variables.
- Generally, the educational level of the patients did not give any significant associations with their satisfaction with the duration of conventional orthodontic treatment, as well as their perception and attitude towards accelerated orthodontics.

6. RECOMMENDATION

Future related studies are encouraged to ensure evidence-based practice of orthodontics, especially accelerated orthodontics in developing economies of the world like Nigeria.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

CONSENT

As per international standards or institutional standards, participants' gave their consent before participating in the study.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

It is not applicable.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Gandedkar NH, Dalci O,Darendeliler MA. Accelerated orthodontics (AO): The past, present and the future. Semin Orthod 2024; 30: 172–182.
- 2. Amid R, Kadkhodazadeh M, Ahsaie MG, Hakakzadeh A. Effect of low level laser therapy on proliferation and differentiation of the cells contributing in bone regeneration. J Lasers Med Sci. 2014;5: 163.
- Khaw CMA, Dalci O, Foley M, Petocz P, Darendeliler MA, Papadopoulou AK. Physical properties of root cementum: Part 27. Effect of low-level laser therapy on the repair of orthodontically induced inflammatory root resorption: a doubleblind, split-mouth, randomized controlled clinical trial. Am J Orthod Dentofac Orthoped. 2018;154:326–336.
- Sambevski J, Papadopoulou AK, Foley M, Dalci K, Petocz P, Darendeliler MA, et al. Physical properties of root cementum: part 29. The effects of LED-mediated photobiomodulation on orthodontically induced root resorption and pain: a pilot split-mouth randomized controlled trial. Eur J Orthod. 2022;44:650–658.
- Ng D, Chan AK, Papadopoulou AK, Dalci O, Petocz P, Darendeliler MA. The effect of low-level laser therapy on orthodontically induced root resorption: a pilot double blind randomized controlled trial. Eur J Orthod. 2018;40:317–325.
- Patterson BM, Dalci O, Papadopoulou AK, Madukuri S, Mahon J, Petocz P, et al. Effect of piezocision on root resorption associated with orthodontic force: a microcomputed tomography study. Am J OrthodDentofacOrthoped. 2017;151:53– 62.

- Fujita S, Yamaguchi M, Utsunomiya T, Yamamoto H, Kasai K. Low-energy laser stimulates tooth movement velocity via expression of rank and rankl. OrthodCraniofac Res. 2008;11:143–155.
- 8. Kawasaki K, Shimizu N. Effects of lowenergy laser irradiation on bone remodeling during experimental tooth movement in rats. Lasers Surg Med. 2000;26:282–291.
- Cruz DR, Kohara EK, Ribeiro MS, Wetter NU. Effects of low-intensity laser therapy on the orthodontic movement velocity of human teeth: a preliminary study. Lasers Surg Med. 2004;35:117–120.
- Limpanichkul W, Godfrey K, Srisuk N, Rattanayatikul C. Effects of low-level laser therapy on the rate of orthodontic tooth movement. OrthodCraniofac Res. 2006;9: 38–43.
- Doshi-Mehta G, WA Bhad-Patil. Efficacy of low-intensity laser therapy in reducing treatment time and orthodontic pain: a clinical investigation. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2012;141:289– 297.
- Aljabaa A, Almoammar K, Aldrees A, Huang G. Effects of vibrational devices on orthodontic tooth movement: a systematic review. Am J Orthod Dentofac Orthoped. 2018;154:768–779. 70.
- Lyu C, Zhang L, Zou S. The effectiveness of supplemental vibrational force on enhancing orthodontic treatment. A systematic review. Eur J Orthod. 2019;41: 502–512. 71.
- Farhadian N, Miresmaeili A, Borjali M, Salehisaheb H, Farhadian M, Rezaei-Soufi L, et al. The effect of intra-oral LED device and low-level laser therapy on orthodontic tooth movement in young adults: a randomized controlled trial. Int Orthod. 2021;19:612–621.
- Abd Elmotaleb MA, Elnamrawy MM, Sharaby F, Elbeialy AR, ElDakroury A. Effectiveness of using a vibrating device in accelerating orthodontic tooth movement: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of International Society of Preventive and Community Dentistry. 2019;9(1):5-12.
- García Vega MF, Lopez Perez-Franco LM, Dib Kanan A, Roman Mendez CD, Soto Sainz JE, Reyes Cervantes E, et al. Are mechanical vibrations an effective alternative to accelerate orthodontic tooth

movement in humans? Appl Sci. 2021;11: 10699.

- Olmedo-Hernandez OL, Mota-Rodríguez AN, Torres-Rosas R, Argueta-Figueroa L. Effect of the photobiomodulation for acceleration of the orthodontic tooth movement: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Lasers Med Sci. 2022;37:2323– 2341.
- Alam MK, Awawdeh M, Alsaeed S, Khanagar SB, Abutayyem H, Alswairki HJ, et al. A systematic review and metaanalysis on the associated effects of static magnetic fields on orthodontic tooth movement. Appl Sci. 2023;13:8709.
- Sambevski J, Papadopoulou AK, Foley M, Dalci K, Petocz P, Darendeliler MA, et al. Physical properties of root cementum: part 29. The effects of LED-mediated photobiomodulation on orthodontically induced root resorption and pain: a pilot split-mouth randomized controlled trial. Eur J Orthod. 2022;44:650–658.
- Ng D, Chan AK, Papadopoulou AK, Dalci O, Petocz P, Darendeliler MA. The effect of low-level laser therapy on orthodontically induced root resorption: a pilot double blind randomized controlled trial. Eur J Orthod. 2018;40:317–325.
- Mistry D, Dalci O, Papageorgiou SN, Darendeliler MA, Papadopoulou AK. The effects of a clinically feasible application of low-level laser therapy on the rate of orthodontic tooth movement: a triple-blind, split-mouth, randomized controlled trial. Am J Orthod Dentofac Orthoped. 2020;157:444–453.
- 22. Al-Shafi S, Pandis N, Darendeliler MA, Papadopoulou AK. Effect of light-emitting diode-mediated photobiomodulation on extraction space closure in adolescents and young adults: A split-mouth, randomized controlled trial. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 2021;160:19–28.
- 23. Liou EJ. The development of submucosal injection of platelet rich plasma for accelerating orthodontic tooth movement and preserving pressure side alveolar bone. APOS Trends Orthod 2016; 6:5-11.
- 24. Sharma, Rajeev, and Deepak Mohan Soni. An overview of lingual orthodontics: advantages and disadvantages. International Journal of Research and Reports in Dentistry. 2022;5(2):193-208. Available:https://journalijrrd.com/index.php/ IJRRD/article/view/147.

- 25. AlHudaithi, Felwa Sulaiman, Majd Abdullah Alghamdi, Ayat Hassan Al Saeed, Manar Albalawi, and Najd Ahmad Alshammari. Knowledge and awareness among parents of children with functional orthodontic appliances in riyadh city, Saudi Arabia: A cross sectional study. Asian Journal of Dental Sciences. 2024;7(1):170-79. Available:https://journalajds.com/index.php /AJDS/article/view/192.
- 26. Skidmore KJ, Brook KJ, Thomson WM, Harding WJ. Factors influencing treatment time in orthodontic patients. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics. 2006;129(2):230-8.
- 27. Krieger E, Jacobs C, Walter C, Wehrbein H. Current state of orthodontic patients under bisphosphonate therapy. Head & face medicine. 2013;9:1-6.
- Alikhani M, Alansari S, Sangsuwon C, Alikhani M, Chou MY, Alyami B, et al. Microosteoperforations: minimally invasive accelerated tooth movement. Semin Orthod. 2015;21:162–169.
- 29. Chan E, Dalci O, Petocz P, Papadopoulou AK, Darendeliler MA. Physical properties of root cementum: part 26. Effects of micro-osteoperforations on orthodontic root resorption: a microcomputed tomography study. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2018;153:204–213.
- Sivarajan S, Ringgingon LP, Fayed MMS, Wey MC. The effect of microosteoperforations on the rate of orthodontic tooth movement: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Am J Orthod Dentofac Orthop. 2020;157:290–304.
- Cramer CL, Campbell PM, Opperman LA, Tadlock LP, Buschang PH. Effects of microosteoperforations on tooth movement and bone in the beagle maxilla. Am J Orthod Dentofac Orthop. 2019;155:681– 692.
- Tsai CY, Yang TK, Hsieh HY, Yang L-Y. Comparison of the effects of microosteoperforation and corticision on the rate of orthodontic tooth movement in rats. Angle Orthod. 2015;86:558–564.
- Alqadasi B, Aldhorae K, Halboub E, Mahgoub N, Alnasri A, Assiry A, et al. The effectiveness of micro-osteoperforations during canine retraction: a threedimensional randomized clinical trial. J Int Soc Prev Commun Dent.2019;9:637. DOI:10.4103/jispcd.JISPCD_233_19.
- 34. Shahabee M, Shafaee H, Abtahi M, Rangrazi A, Bardideh E. Effect of micro-

osteoperforation on the rate of orthodontic tooth movement—A systematic review and a metaanalysis.Eur J Orthod. 2020; 42:211–221

DOI:10.1093/ejo/cjz049.

- 35. Mheissen S, Khan H, Alsafadi AS, Almuzian M. The effectiveness of surgical adjunctive procedures in the acceleration of orthodontic tooth movement: a systematic review of systematic reviews and meta-analysis. J Orthod. 2021; 48:156–171.
- 36. Gasparro R, Bucci R, De Rosa F, Sammartino G, Bucci P, D'Anto V, et al. Effectiveness of surgical procedures in the acceleration of orthodontic tooth movement: findings from systematic reviews and meta-analyses. Jpn Dental Sci Rev. 2022;58:137–154.
- Houara RG, Diniz AR, de Araujo VE, Thabane L, Soares RV, Oliveira D-D. Effects of pie-zocision in orthodontic tooth movement: A systematic review of comparative studies. J Clin Exp Dent. 2019;11:e1078.
- Afzal E, Fida M, Malik DS, Irfan S, Gul M. Comparison between conventional and piezocision-assisted orthodontics in relieving anterior crowding: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Orthod. 2021;43:360–366.
- 39. Mheissen S, Khan H, Samawi S. Is Piezocision effective in accelerating orthodontic tooth movement: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS ONE. 2020;15:e0231492.
- Fu T, Liu S, Zhao H, Cao M, Zhang R. Effectiveness and safety of minimally invasive orthodontic tooth movement acceleration: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J. Dent. Res. 2019;98:1469–1479.
- 41. Li J, Papadopoulou AK, Gandedkar N, Dalci K, Darendeliler MA, Dalci O. The effect of micro-osteoperforations on orthodontic space closure investigated over 12 weeks: a split-mouth, randomized controlled clinical trial. Eur J Orthod. 2022; 44:427–435.
- 42. Mohaghegh S, Soleimani M, Kouhestani F, Motamedian SR. The effect of single/multiple micro-osteoperforation on the rate of orthodontic tooth movement and its possible complications: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int Orthod. 2021;19:183–196.

- 43. Uribe F, Davoody L, Mehr R, et al. Efficiency of piezotome-corticision assisted orthodontics in alleviating mandibular anterior crowding-a randomized clinical trial. Eur J Orthod. 2017;39:595-600. DOI:10.1093/ejo/cjw091.
- Khlef HN, Hajeer MY, Ajaj MA, Heshmeh 44. О. Youssef N, Mahaini L: The effectiveness of traditional corticotomy vs corticotomy flapless in miniscrewsupported en-masse retraction of maxillary anterior teeth in patients with Class II Division 1 malocclusion: a single-centered, randomized controlled clinical trial. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2020:158: e111-e120.

DOI:10.1016/j.ajodo.2020.08.008

- Alsino H, Kheshfeh M, Hajeer M, Burhan 45. AS, Alkhouri I, Al-Ibrahim HM, Nassar JNA. Dental and Periodontal Changes after Accelerated Correction of Lower Anterior Teeth Crowding With Periodontally Accelerated Osteogenic Orthodontics (PAOO) Procedure: Α Randomized Controlled Trial. Cureus. 2024;16(3):e57347. DOI:10.7759/cureus.5734
- 46. Sirri MR, Burhan AS, Hajeer MY, Nawaya FR: Evaluation of corticision-based acceleration of lower anterior teeth alignment in terms of root resorption and dehiscence formation using cone-beam computed tomography in young adult patients: a randomized controlled trial. Int Orthod. 2021;19:580-590.

DOI:10.1016/j.ortho.2021.10.001

- Almalki A. Effect of pharmacological methods in accelerated orthodontics: a literature review. Open Dent J. 2023; 17
- 48. Eltimamy A, El-Sharaby FA, Eid FH, El-Dakrory AE. The effect of local pharmacological agents in acceleration of orthodontic tooth movement: a systematic review. Open Access Macedonian J Med Sci. 2019;7:882
- 49. Al-Attar A, Abid M, Dziedzic A, Al-Khatieeb MM, Seppala M, Cobourne MT, et al. The impact of calcitriol on orthodontic tooth movement: a cumulative systematic review and meta-analysis. Appl Sci 2021;11:8882.

- 50. Khurshid Z, Asiri FY. Influence of intermittent parathyroid hormone (PTH) administration on the outcomes of orthodontic tooth movement—A systematic review. Appl Sci. 2021;11:5268.
- 51. Socioeconomic status. Dictionary.com. Last accessed on June 04, 2024.
- 52. Umeh OD, Isiekwe IG, daCosta OO, Sanu OO, Utomi IL, Izuka M. Attitude and perception of orthodontic patients to orthodontic treatment time and accelerated orthodontics. Afr J Oral Health 2020;9(2):28-39.
- 53. Ututu, C, Orikpete M, Okino BO, Okino CO, Onyeaso CO. Satisfaction with Duration of Orthodontic Treatment, Knowledge and Perception of Accelerated Orthodontics by Nigerian General Dental Practitioners: A Questionnaire Study. Asian J Med Health 2023;21(12):1-11.
- 54. Orikpete M, Okino BO, Ututu C, Okino CO, Isiekwe IG, Onyeaso CO. The Influence of Demographic Factors of Nigerian Dentists on their Satisfaction with Duration of Orthodontic Treatment and Knowledge of Accelerated Orthodontics. Asian J Med Health. 2024;22(2):33-42.
- 55. Okino BO, Okino CO, Ututu C, Orikpete M, Onyeaso CO. The Influence of the Demographic Factors of Nigerian Dental Practitioners on their Perception of Accelerated Orthodontics. Asian J Med Health. 2024;22(2):43-52.
- 56. OPCS (Office of population censuses and surveys). Standard occupational classification. London: HMSO; 1990.
- 57. Onyeaso CO. Prevalence of malocclusion among adolescents in Ibadan, Nigeria. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2004;126:604-7.
- 58. Khaing C S U, Tun T Z, Kang N A. The study of associated factors in relation to patient satisfaction during fixed orthodontic treatment from patients' aspects, Clin Investigative Orthod; 2024 Available:https://doi.org/10.1080/27705781 .2024.233903.
- 59. Laothong W, Cheng HC. Comparison of factors affecting orthodontic treatment motivation of Taiwanese and Thai patients in two hospitals. J Dent Sci 2017; 12, 396e404

Social Class	Description	Example
I	Professional and top managerial occupations	Doctor, dentist, university lecturer
II	Intermediate occupations, minor professions middle and lower managers	Teacher, nurse, chiropodist, supermarket manager
111	Skilled occupations, manual and non-manual	Draftsman, clerk, policeman, plumber, toolmaker, coal miner
IV	Semi-skilled occupations	Gardener, storekeeper, postal carrier
V	Unskilled occupations	Labourer, kitchen worker, office cleaner

Appendix I: Registrar General's Social Classes

It is often simpler to divide people in 2 groups to make broad statements about social differences. Classes I, II, and III (non-manual) constitute middle class; Classes III (manual), IV, and V are working class

APPENDIX II

QUESTIONNAIRE ON ACCELERATED ORTHODONTICS

Please, we need your support by responding to the questions below. This is purely for academic and treatment planning purposes. Your responses will be confidentially handled. Please, provide honest responses as much as possible. Thank you and God bless.

SECTION A (Please, tick your choice out of any of the options)

(1) Age ----- (2) Gender: Male /Female (3) Academic Qualification: (a) Primary School Certificate (b) O' Level (WASCE/GCE) (c) University Degree (d) Postgraduate Degree (4) Type of Work/Job: ------- (5) Estimate of Annual Income: ------

(6) Marital Status: (a) Living with Spouse (b) Single Parent

SECTION B

(7) Are you satisfied with the duration of active orthodontic treatment for yourself /child /ward?

(a) Very satisfied (b) somewhat satisfied (c) neutral (d) somewhat dissatisfied (e) very dissatisfied

(8) Any of the procedures below can help to accelerate the orthodontic treatment. As a parent or patient,

which of these procedures would you prefer to use, if 25% to 30% of treatment time would be gained?

Procedure	Most willing	Willing	Neutral	Not willing	Least willing
Use of some					
medications					
injected locally					
intraoral:					
Administration					
of biological					
substance and					
hormones					
(local or					
systemic):					
Direct light					
electric					
current-					
electric current					
application of					
about 20 µA					
for 5 h daily:					

Procedure	Most willing	Willing	Neutral	Not willing	Least willing
Low level					
laser therapy					
(LLLT):					
Resonance					
vibration:					
Corticotomies:					
Piezocision:					

(9) How much reduction in treatment time would you consider to undergo/give your child treatment using any acceleration technique? Please, tick any of the options below:

Technique	0% -10%	10%-20%	20%-30%	30%-40%	Greater than 40%
Use of some					
medications					
injected locally					
intraoral:					
Administration of					
biological					
substance and					
hormones (local or					
systemic):					
Direct light electric					
current-electric					
current application					
of about 20 µA for					
5 h daily:					
Low level laser					
therapy (LLLT):					
Resonance					
vibration:					
Corticotomies:					
Piezocision:					

(10) If you were to use any of the acceleration techniques, indicate your preference for percentage increase in fee for a percentage reduction in treatment time (Tick only one option in each row)

Increase in fees (%)	Increase in fees by 10%	Increase in fees by 20%	Increase in fees by 30%	Increase in fees by 40%	Increase in fees by 50%
Use of some medications injected locally intraoral:					
Administration of biological substance and hormones (local or systemic):					
Direct light electric current- electric current application of about 20 µA for 5 h daily:					

Increase in fees (%)	Increase in fees by 10%	Increase in fees by 20%	Increase in fees by 30%	Increase in fees by 40%	Increase in fees by 50%
Low level					
laser therapy					
(LLLT):					
Resonance					
Vibration:					
Corticotomies:					
Piezocision:					
Reduction in	Reduction in	Reduction in	Reduction in	Reduction in	Reduction in
time (%)	time by 50%	time by 40%	time by 30%	time by 20%	time by 10%

THE END We appreciate your kind participation in this study.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/118774