



## **Grain Health Protectant Activity of Essential Oils against Infestation and Damage of Haricot Bean by *Zabrotes subfasciatus* (Boheman)**

**Emana Getu Degaga<sup>1\*</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Zoological Sciences, Addis Ababa University (AAU), P.O.Box 1176, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

### **Author's contribution**

The sole author designed, analyzed and interpreted and prepared the manuscript.

### **Article Information**

DOI: 10.9734/AJEA/2015/16761

#### Editor(s):

(1) Anonymous.

#### Reviewers:

(1) Anonymous, University of South Africa, South Africa.

(2) Sevcan Oztemiz, Biological Control Research Station of Adana, Turkey.

(3) Anonymous, Morocco.

(4) Mohamed Benicha, National Agronomic Research Institute, Tangier, Morocco.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history.php?iid=1148&id=2&aid=9747>

**Original Research Article**

**Received 12<sup>th</sup> February 2015**

**Accepted 31<sup>st</sup> March 2015**

**Published 12<sup>th</sup> June 2015**

### **ABSTRACT**

Experiments were conducted to evaluate the efficacy of essential oils extracted from *Chenopodium ambrosioides* (L.), *Rosmarinus officinalis* (L.), *Eucalyptus globulus* (Labill), *Trachyspermum ammi* (Sprague) and *Cymbopogon citratus* (Stapf) against *Zabrotes subfasciatus* (Boheman) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) under laboratory condition (27±3°C, 50-70% RH). The test insects were reared in glass jar and investigated on whole Awash-1 haricot bean variety grains. A standard insecticide, primiphos-methyl 5% dust and untreated control were included for comparison. The experiment was arranged in a completely randomized design in three replications. Essential oils from the above mentioned plants were admixed with the bean grains at the rate of 750 mg/150 g of seeds. The rate of primiphos-methyl was 0.125 g/150 g of seeds. The results showed that there was complete mortality (100%) of *Z. subfasciatus* adults within 24 hours after treatment due to *C. ambrosioides*, *E. globulus*, *T. ammi* and *C. citratus*. Application of essential oils to bean grains significantly reduced progeny production of *Z. subfasciatus*. Over 97% inhibition of F1 progeny production by the pest was recorded for all essential oil treatments at the highest dose of 750 mg. Application of essential oil extracts also significantly reduced the rates of haricot bean

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [egetudegaga@yahoo.com](mailto:egetudegaga@yahoo.com);

grain infestation (up to 100%) by *Z. subfasciatus*. Furthermore, essential oil extracts admixed to the bean grain proved to have no significant effects on the germination capacity of the treated haricot bean grains. From the current experiment it can be concluded that the tested botanicals are as effective as primiphos-methyl which gave 100% control of *Z. subfasciatus* and can be used for the management of the pest.

**Keywords:** Botanicals; essential oils; haricot bean grains; extracts; *Zabrotes subfasciatus*.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.), is one of the principal food and cash crop legume grown in the tropical world [1,2]. It constitutes the essential source of cheap, high-quality dietary proteins for resource-poor farmers. A major problem in attempting to increase the supply of beans in rural and urban households is the highest losses during storage caused by two species of bruchids: *Acanthoscelides obtectus* (Say) and *Zabrotes subfasciatus* (Boheman) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) [3,4]. These species are the most destructive storage pests of beans throughout the world, particularly in the tropical belt [5,6]. In Ethiopia, *Z. subfasciatus* and *A. obtectus* are the major pests of stored beans causing an average grain loss of 60% within 3-6 months of storage period [7]. Damaged beans characteristically appeared with multiple emergence holes of bruchid beetles and emitting a pungent odor which results in grains that are not fit for consumption and market [8]. To offset and/or minimize the losses, farmers use different methods of control which range from synthetic chemical insecticides to different cultural practices.

When properly used, synthetic pesticides may play a significant role in reducing storage losses due to insect pests [9]. However, their current applications for the control of storage insect pests is limited because of resistance development by insect pest, pest resurgence, consumers concern, widespread environmental hazards and increasing costs of application [10]. These pitfalls called for looking into alternative pest control options such as botanical insecticides. Plant products have been used for many years by the small scale farmers in different parts of Africa. In the past two decades, many efforts have been undertaken to investigate plants with better botanical insecticides which can be used as an alternative to synthetic insecticide [11]. Mixing of essential oil extracts from various plants with stored-grains, usually result in the reduction of

oviposition rate, suppression of adult emergence, and mortality of adults, which ultimately lead to low infestation and yield losses [12,13]. The present study was conducted to determine the bioactivities of different essential oil extracts against the infestation of *Z. subfasciatus* on common bean grains to find candidate sources for future integrated pest management applications.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Insect Rearing

Adults of *Z. subfasciatus* were obtained from Melkasa Agricultural Research Center and reared in the insectary of Addis Ababa University, Biology Department. The experiment was conducted at 27±3°C and 50-70% RH. Haricot bean seeds of the variety Awash-1 used for the rearing were bought from Melkassa local market and were kept in an oven at 40°C for 4 h to disinfect the seeds from the internal infestation and allowed to cool for 2 hours before use [5]. Hundred adults from the same cohort, comprising 50 males and 50 females of *Z. subfasciatus* were placed in 1 L volume glass jars containing 250 g of haricot bean seeds. The parent bruchids were removed by sieving 13 days after completion of their oviposition period. Seeds were kept under laboratory condition until the emergence of F<sub>1</sub> progeny.

### 2.2 Plant Material Collection and Extraction

Plant materials (i.e. leaf, inflorescence, and seed) used for the study were collected from natural habitats around and in Addis Ababa and the botanical garden of Essential Oil Research Center (EORC) in Addis Ababa and Wendogenet Agricultural Research Center (WARC) (Table 1). The identity of the test plants was confirmed at the National Herbarium of Addis Ababa University, where type specimens were deposited.

**Table 1. List of essential oil plant materials tested against *Z. subfasciatus* on haricot bean seed**

Treatments/plant species	Common name	Parts used for oil extraction
<i>Chenopodium ambrosioides</i>	Mexican tea	fresh leaves and inflorescences
<i>Eucalyptus globulus</i>	Eucalyptus	Leaves
<i>Rosmarinus officinalis</i>	Rosemary	fresh leaves and inflorescences
<i>Cymbopogon citratus</i>	Lemmon grass	Leaves
<i>Trachyspermum ammi</i>	Bishop's weed	Seeds

### 2.3 Distillation of Essential Oils

Each essential oil from each botanical specimen was extracted by hydro-distillation using Clevenger apparatus (Winzer®) by the trained staffs of EORC in Addis Ababa. The dried parts of the test plants were cut into small pieces and were placed in a distillation flask with approximately 5 times water in volume and 10 glass beads (100 g sample in 200 ml distilled water). The distillation flask contained each sample was placed in heating mantle (> 150°C) to complete the cycle for duration of 2.5 hours. The distillate was collected in a separating funnel in which the aqueous portion is separated from the volatile oil. The water (lower) layer was slowly drained off until only the oil layer remains. The percentage of oil content was calculated based on dry matter bases (ml/g biomass). The oil was collected in vials and stored at about 4°C in refrigerator until use.

### 2.4 Insecticidal Bioassay

Essential oil extracts were admixed with the test grains sample at the rate of 0.02, 0.1 and 0.5% (30, 150 and 750 mg/ 150 g of grain) dissolved in 10 ml of acetone and shaken thoroughly to ensure uniform distribution over grains surface. One blank control was run concurrently consisting of acetone treated grain. Primiphos-methyl dust at the rate of 0.125 g/150 g seeds was used as a standard check. Treated grains were kept for 24 hour to allow for the complete evaporation of acetone before running the bioassays. After the treatment application, 20, 3-5 days-old unsexed adult *Z. subfasciatus* was introduced to the treated and untreated seeds in the glass jar. The jars were covered with nylon mesh and held in place with rubber bands. Each treatment was replicated three times. The experiment was arranged in completely randomized design and conducted in the laboratory. Number of dead insects in each jar was sieved and counted after 24, 48, 72 and 96 hours after treatment application. Percentage mortality was corrected using [14] formula:

$$PT = (Po - Pc) / (100 - Pc),$$

Where PT = corrected mortality (%), Po= observed mortality (%), Pc = Mortality in the control glass jar (%).

### 2.5 F<sub>1</sub> Progeny Assessment

The treated jars were kept for additional 10 days for oviposition after mortality assessment. All live and dead insects were sieved and discarded after 13 days of introduction. Then the grains were kept until emergence of F<sub>1</sub> progeny. The number of F<sub>1</sub> progeny was counted upon emergence until 45 days from the date of introduction to avoid overlapping generation.

### 2.6 Damage Assessment

Damage assessment was done on treated and untreated grains. To determine the extent of seed damage, samples of 100 grains were taken randomly from each jar of the treatment. The number of damaged (grains with characteristic hole) and undamaged grains were counted and weighed. Percentage seed weight loss =  $[\text{UNd DNu}/\text{U} (\text{Nd} + \text{Nu})] \times 100$  (where, U = Weight of undamaged grain, D = Weight of damaged grain, Nd = Number of damaged seeds and Nu = Number of undamaged seeds).

### 2.7 Germination Test Assay

For germination test, 100 seeds were taken randomly from each treatment jar. Then, 20 seeds from each treated and control group were placed separately in petri dishes containing moistened filter paper (Whatman No. 1). Each treatment was replicated five times. Healthy untreated seeds were used as a control. The number of emerged seedlings from each petri dish was counted and recorded after 7 days. The percent germination was computed using the following formula:

Viability index (%) =  $(\text{NG} \times 100) / \text{TG}$  (where, Where NG = number of seeds germinated and TG = total number of seeds tested in each Petri dish.

## 2.8 Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS Ins., Version 13, 2004 [15]. Percentage mortality data were transformed using arcsine transformation before the analysis and analyzed with one-way analysis of variance. Homogeneity of variance was determined using Levene's test. Mean values were separated using Tukey's studentized range test (HSD). Significance levels are given for  $P < 0.05$  [16].

## 3. RESULTS

### 3.1 The Effect of Essential Oil Treatments on Mortality of Parent Bruchids

Results of mortality of adult *Z. subfasciatus* 24-96 hours after treatment application are given in Table 2. Application of essential oil extracts significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) increased the adult mortality of *Z. subfasciatus* at the highest dose (0.5%) 24 hours after treatment application. Essential oil extracts of *C. ambrosioides*, *R. officinalis*, *E. globulus*, *T. ammi* and *C. citratus* induced 100% mortality of *Z. subfasciatus* at 0.5% in 24 hours after treatment application. Similar trends were also observed for seeds treated with *C. ambrosioides* and *T. ammi* at 0.02

and 0.1% at 24 hours after treatment application. Significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ), lower mortality was obtained with seeds treated with essential oils of *R. officinalis*, *E. globulus* and *C. citratus* at 0.02%, 24 hours after treatment application.

### 3.2 Effect of Essential Oil Extracts on F<sub>1</sub> Progeny Production, Percent Inhibition and Percent Grain Weight Loss

The trial evidenced that significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) lower number of F<sub>1</sub> progeny was produced in most of the treatments compared to the untreated grains (Table 3). No progeny was produced by *Z. subfasciatus* in grains treated with essential oil extracts of *C. ambrosioides*, *E. globulus*, *T. ammi* and *C. citratus* at the highest dose of 0.5. The highest oviposition inhibition rate was recorded in bean seeds treated with *T. ammi* essential oil extract at all levels of application (Table 3).

Significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher number of F<sub>1</sub> progeny was produced in bean seeds treated with *C. citratus* essential oil extract at 0.02%. Similarly, this essential oil extract was less effective in inhibition of oviposition and subsequent progeny emergence of *Z. subfasciatus*.

**Table 2. Cumulative mean  $\pm$ SE % mortality of adult *Z. subfasciatus* due to essential oils treated to bean seeds after different exposure hours**

Treatments	Dosage (g /150 g grain)	Mean $\pm$ SE % adult mortality, h after exposure			
		24	48	72	96
<i>C. ambrosioides</i>	0.03	83.33 $\pm$ 8.82a	96.67 $\pm$ 3.33a	100.00 $\pm$ 00a	100.00 $\pm$ 00a
	0.15	96.67 $\pm$ 3.33a	100.00 $\pm$ 00a	100.00 $\pm$ 00a	100.00 $\pm$ 00a
	0.75	100.00 $\pm$ 00a	100.00 $\pm$ 00a	100.00 $\pm$ 00a	100.00 $\pm$ 00a
<i>R. officinalis</i>	0.03	33.33 $\pm$ 5.39bc	66.67 $\pm$ 5.39b	83.33 $\pm$ 3.33ab	90.00 $\pm$ 5.77ab
	0.15	53.33 $\pm$ 0.33b	70.00 $\pm$ 3.65b	88.33 $\pm$ 0.60ab	93.33 $\pm$ 0.29ab
	0.75	90.00 $\pm$ 5.73a	96.67 $\pm$ 3.33a	96.67 $\pm$ 3.33ab	100.00 $\pm$ 00a
<i>E. globules</i>	0.03	43.33 $\pm$ 1.92bc	63.33 $\pm$ 3.93b	80.00 $\pm$ 6.93b	83.33 $\pm$ 3.33b
	0.15	43.33 $\pm$ 3.33bc	73.33 $\pm$ 6.71b	83.33 $\pm$ 3.33ab	93.33 $\pm$ 0.29ab
	0.75	100.00 $\pm$ 00a	100.00 $\pm$ 00a	100.00 $\pm$ 00a	100.00 $\pm$ 00a
<i>T. ammi</i>	0.03	93.33 $\pm$ 6.67a	100.00 $\pm$ 00a	100.00 $\pm$ 00a	100.00 $\pm$ 00a
	0.15	96.67 $\pm$ 3.33a	100.00 $\pm$ 00a	100.00 $\pm$ 00a	100.00 $\pm$ 00a
	0.75	100.00 $\pm$ 00a	100.00 $\pm$ 00	100.00 $\pm$ 00a	100.00 $\pm$ 00a
<i>C. citratus</i>	0.03	23.33 $\pm$ 6.05cd	35.00 $\pm$ 4.46	43.33 $\pm$ 3.47c	45.00 $\pm$ 2.88c
	0.15	96.67 $\pm$ 5.77a	98.33 $\pm$ 1.67	98.33 $\pm$ 1.67ab	98.33 $\pm$ 0.19a
	0.75	100.00 $\pm$ 00a	100.00 $\pm$ 00a	100.00 $\pm$ 00a	100.00 $\pm$ 00a
Primiphos-methyl	0.125	100.00 $\pm$ 00a	100.00 $\pm$ 00a	100.00 $\pm$ 00a	100.00 $\pm$ 00a
Control (acetone treated)	0	0 $\pm$ 00d	0 $\pm$ 00d	0 $\pm$ 00d	0 $\pm$ 00d

Means within a column followed by different letters are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ), Tukey student test (HSD)

**Table 3. Mean numbers of F<sub>1</sub> progeny produced and weight loss caused by *Z. subfasciatus* on bean seeds treated with different plant materials of essential oil at different rates**

Treatments	Dosage (g / 150 grain)	Mean± SE number of F <sub>1</sub> progeny	% Mean± SE weight loss
<i>C. ambrosioides</i>	0.03	12.33±0.67ab	0.13±0.09ab
	0.15	0.67±0.05a	0.00±0.00a
	0.75	0.00±0.00a	0.00±0.00a
<i>R. officinalis</i>	0.03	7.67±1.13ab	0.02±0.01a
	0.15	5.00±0.54ab	0.01±0.00a
	0.75	1.00±0.00a	0.00±0.00a
<i>E. globulus</i>	0.03	10.67±1.50ab	0.08±0.05ab
	0.15	8.33±1.26ab	0.02±0.01a
	0.75	0.00±0.00a	0.00±0.00a
<i>T. ammi</i>	0.03	0.00±0.00a	0.00±0.00a
	0.15	0.00±0.00a	0.00±0.00a
	0.75	0.00±0.00a	0.00±0.00a
<i>C. citratus</i>	0.03	26.33±1.23bc	0.24±0.07bc
	0.15	2.67±0.94a	0.00±0.00a
	0.75	0.00±0.00a	0.00±0.00a
Primiphos-methyl	0.125	0.00±0.00a	0.00±0.00a
Control (acetone treated)	0	37.66±2.03c	0.36±0.04c

Means within a column followed by different letters are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ), Tukey student test (HSD)

Grain weight loss caused by *Z. subfasciatus* was significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher in the control compared to grains treated with essential oil extracts of *C. ambrosioides*, *E. globulus*, *T. ammi*, *R. officinalis* and *C. citratus* 45 days after infestation (Table 3). Grains treated with essential oil extracts at 0.1 and 0.5% gave better protection against the attack by *Z. subfasciatus*, without noticeable feeding damages compared with the untreated grains. Significantly, lower percent protection of bean seeds was recorded with essential oil extracts of *C. ambrosioides*, *E. globulus* and *C. citratus* at the lower concentrations. There was no weight loss recorded on grains treated with primiphos-methyl.

### 3.3 The Effect of Essential oil Extracts on Germination of Haricot Bean Seeds

The trial demonstrated that the percent germination of bean seeds was ranged from 89% to 96%. There was no significant ( $P > 0.05$ ) difference in the germination capacity of haricot bean seeds treated with essential oil extracts and the untreated seeds (Table 4).

## 4. DISCUSSION

Different parts of essential oil extract treatments showed various insecticidal effects on *Z. subfasciatus* in 96 hours exposure period. The essential oil extracts of *C. ambrosioides* and *T. ammi* were the most effective treatments in the

control of *Z. subfasciatus* since the lower concentration induced a high mortality. These treatments gave 83-93% mortality of the adult bruchids, which is not significantly different from the effects of primiphos-methyl which also induced 100% mortality of the tested insect at similar exposure time of 24 hours after treatment application. *R. officinalis*, *E. globulus* and *C. citratus* also had a toxicity effect, but their essential oils were less effective than that of *C. ambrosioides* and *T. ammi* at the lower application doses.

Since they each caused at least 93% of mortality at the highest concentrations, all essential oils tested depicted significantly higher toxicity to *Z. subfasciatus*. Activity of essential oils against adult bruchids appeared to be related to level of application and exposure periods.

Toxicity is one of the broad effects of bioactive compounds present in plants to insects. The toxic effect of plant essential oils against various storage insect pests have been pointed out by several authors [17], who attributed their toxic effect to various terpene constituents of the essential oils. Therefore, the insecticidal properties of the current investigated essential oils against *Z. subfasciatus* might also be attributed to their essential oil constituents.

This study also demonstrated that all essential oil extracts tested reduced significantly the F<sub>1</sub> adult emergence of *Z. subfasciatus* compared to the untreated check though the plant materials vary

among themselves. Treatment of bean seeds with essential oil extracts of *C. ambrosioides*, *R. officinalis*, *E. globulus*, *T. ammi* and *C. citratus* at the highest dose (750 mg/ 150 g of grains) resulted in significant reduction of F<sub>1</sub> progeny production. The treatments manifested up to 96-100% reduction in adult emergence. Likewise, complete inhibition in emergence of adult bruchids was obtained with the lowest concentration (30 mg) treatment of *T. ammi* essential oil. This reduction in F<sub>1</sub> progeny emergence in the treated grains could be attributed to the early death of the adult *Z. subfasciatus* due to bioactivities of the essential oils tested. [18] studied the effect of three essential oils on *C. maculatus* oviposition and reported that application of oils occlude seed funnels leading to the death of the developing insect by asphyxia.

**Table 4. Effect of different essential oil extracts on percent germination of haricot bean seeds**

Treatments	Dosage (g/150 g grain)	% mean±SE germination of seeds
<i>C. ambrosioides</i>	0.03	91.33±1.20a
	0.15	92.00±1.53a
	0.75	92.00±0.58a
<i>R. officinalis</i>	0.03	90.00±1.53a
	0.15	90.67±0.67a
	0.75	90.67±0.88a
<i>E. globules</i>	0.03	89.67±1.67a
	0.15	91.33±1.45a
	0.75	90.00±2.00a
<i>T. ammi</i>	0.03	90.67±1.53a
	0.15	90.33±0.57a
	0.75	93.00±1.00a
<i>C. citratus</i>	0.03	90.00±1.00a
	0.15	90.00±1.00a
	0.75	92.00±1.00a
Primiphos-methyl	0.125	90.67±1.76a
Control (acetone treated)	0	95.67±0.88a

Means within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different by Tukey student test (HSD) ( $P > 0.05$ ).

In related studies, all these tested plant materials showed oviposition inhibition effect on different insect pests. *E. globulus* essential oil extract completely inhibited F<sub>1</sub> progeny emergence of *C. maculatus* [16]. Similar results were documented for rosemary essential oil against *A. obtectus* [14]. Paranagama et al. [15] also studied the deleterious effect of the essential oils of *C. citratus* and *C. nardus* on oviposition and F<sub>1</sub> adult emergence of *C. maculatus*. Hence, the results

of this study are consistent with observation of the earlier findings.

The cause for a considerable protection of haricot bean seeds against the attack by *Z. subfasciatus* by the essential oil extracts of some of the botanical plants in the current investigation could be due to the presence of different chemicals which interfere with the feeding habit of the pest.

The germination test demonstrated that the plant materials tested against *Z. subfasciatus* did not show any visible adverse effects on germination capacity of the seeds. Some of the treatments were infected by moulds which resulted in a reduced germination percentage ranging from 89-96%. Similar results were reported by [19] and [20].

## 5. CONCLUSION

the findings of the current study indicated that plant materials tested possess bio-insecticidal properties that can be used in the management of insect pests on stored bean. In line with this, there is a possible scientific rationale for the incorporation of essential oil extracts of *C. ambrosioides*, *R. officinalis*, *E. globulus*, *T. ammi* and *C. citratus* into the bean grain protection practices of resource-poor farmers. They are also safe, cheap, easily biodegradable and technologically and environmentally friendly since they are used in ethno-botany for the treatment of various ailments. It is also essential that further work to isolate, improve their efficacy and reliability and appropriate technological systems need to get priority concern. That is to mean identification of the chemicals responsible should be an immediate research agenda to make it easier for scaling-up the results.

## COMPETING INTERESTS

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

1. Abate T, Ampofo JKO. Insect pests of common bean in Africa: Their ecology and management. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 1996;41:45-75.
2. Schmale I, Wackers FL, Cardona C, Dorn, S. Field infestation of *Phaseolus vulgaris* by *Acanthoscelides obtectus* (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) parasitoid abundance and

- consequences for storage pest control. Environ. Entomol. 2002;31:859-863.
3. Odeyemi O. Insecticidal properties of certain indigenous plant oils against *Sitophilus zeamais* Motsch. Appl. Entomol. Phytopathol. 1993;60:19-27.
  4. Nchimbi-Msolla S, Misangu RN. Seasonal distribution of common bean bruchids species in selected areas in Tanzania. Proceedings of the Bean Seed Workshop, Arusha, Tanzania. Bean / Cowpea Collaborative Research Support Program–East Africa. 2001;2002:5.
  5. Mulungu LS, Luwondo EL, Reuben SOWM, Misangu RN. Effectiveness of local botanicals as protectants of stored beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) against bean bruchids (*Zabrotes subfasciatus* Boh.). J. Entomol. 2007;4:210-217.
  6. Srivastava S, Gupta KC, Agrawal A. Effect of plant products on *Callosobruchus chinensis* L. infestation in red gram. Seed Res. 1988;16:98-101.
  7. Emanu G, Ahmed I, Fridissa I. Review of lowland pulse insect pest research in Ethiopia. Proceedings of grain legume workshop, 2003, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia; 2003.
  8. Giga DP, Ampofo JKO, Silm MN, Negasi F, Nahimana M, M Solla SN. On- arm storage losses due to bean bruchids and farmers' control Strategies: A report on travelling workshop in eastern and southern Africa. Occasional publication series no. 8. Cali, Colombia: CIAT; 1992.
  9. Bekele AJ, Ofori DO, Hassanali A. Evaluation of *Ocimum kenyense* (Ayobangira) as a source of repellents, toxicants and protectants in storage against three stored product insect pests. J. Appl. Ent. 1997;121:169-173.
  10. Bekele J. Evaluation of the toxicity potential of *Milletia ferruginea* (Hochest) Baker against *Sitophilus zeamais* (Motsch.). Inter. J. Pest Manage. 2002;48: 29-32.
  11. Dawit KZ, Bekele J. Evaluation of orange peel *Citrus sinensis* (L) as a source of repellent, toxicant and protectant against *Zabrotes subfasciatus* (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). Momona Eth. J. Sci. 2010;2: 61-75.
  12. Keita SM, Vincent C, Schmita JP, Arnason JT, Belanger A. Efficacy of essential oil of *Ocimum basilicum* L. and *O. gratissimum* L. applied as an insecticidal fumigant and powder to control *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Fab.) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). J. Stored Prod. Res. 2001;37:339-349.
  13. Paranagama P, Abeysekera T, Nugaliyadde L, Abeywickrawa K. Effects of the essential oils of *Cymbopogon citratus*, *C. nardus* and *Cinnamomum zeylancium* on pest incidence and grain quality of rough rice (paddy) stored in an enclosed seed box. Food Agri. Environ. 2003;134:134-136.
  14. Abbott WS. A method of computing the effectiveness of insecticides. J. Econ. Entomol. 1925;18:265–267.
  15. SPSS 13 for Windows: SPSS Inc, Chicago, USA; 2004.
  16. Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research. 2<sup>nd</sup> edn. Wiley: Chichester, UK. 1984;680.
  17. Tapondjou LA, Adler C, Bouda H, Fontem DA. Efficacy of powder and essential oil from *Chenopodium ambrosioides* leaves as post-harvest grain protectants against six-stored product beetles. J. Stored Prod. Res. 2002;38:395-402.
  18. Aboua LRN, Seri-Kouassi BP, Koua HK. Insecticidal activity of essential oils from three aromatic plants on *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Fab.) in Côte d'Ivoire. European J. Sci. Res. 2010;39:243-250.
  19. Papachouristos DP, Karamanolis KI, Stamopoulos DC, Menkissoglu-Spiroudi, U. The relationship between the chemical composition of three essential oils and their insecticidal activity against *Acanthoscelides obtectus* (Say). Pest Manag. Sci. 2004;60:514–520.
  20. Rahman A, Talukder FA. Bioefficacy of some plant derivatives that protect grain against the pulse beetle. *Callosobruchus maculatus*. J. Insect Sci. 2006;6:03.

© 2015 Degaga; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history.php?iid=1148&id=2&aid=9747>