*Journal of Applied Life Sciences International*



*22(4): 1-13, 2019; Article no.JALSI.53542 ISSN: 2394-1103*

# **Influence of Soil Amendment on the Relative Growth Rate and Net Assimilation Rate of**  *Phaseolus vulgaris* **and** *Vigna aconitifolia*

**E. A. Effa1\* , A. A. J. Mofunanya<sup>1</sup> and B. A. Ngele1**

*1 Department of Plant and Ecological Studies, Faculty of Biological Sciences, University of Calabar, P.M.B. 1115, Calabar, Nigeria.*

## *Authors' contributions*

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author EAE designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors AAJM and BAN managed the analyses of the study. Author EAE managed the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

#### *Article Information*

DOI: 10.9734/JALSI/2019/v22i430136 *Editor(s):* (1) Dr. T. Selvamuthukumaran, Assistant Professor, Department of Entomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University, Tamil Nadu, India. *Reviewers:* (1) M. Madhu, ICAR-IISWC Research Center, India. (2) Cristiane Ramos Vieira, Cuiabá University, Brazil. Complete Peer review History: http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/53542

*Original Research Article*

*Received 20 November 2019 Accepted 24 January 2020 Published 28 January 2020*

## **ABSTRACT**

**Background:** Soil pH is one of the most important factors that contribute to crop growth and productivity. The present research was designed to assess the influence of soil amendment using organic manure and agricultural lime on the relative growth rate (RGR) and net assimilation rate (NAR) of *Phaseolus vulgaris* and *Vigna aconitifolia* grown on soils from different locations.

**Methodology:** The three locations were: Akamkpa, Calabar Municipality and Odukpani. The pH for the three soil locations were 4.0, 7.0 and 9.0, respectively. The treatments were; control (0 q),  $OM_1$ (100 g organic manure), OM<sub>2</sub> (200 g organic manure),  $AL_1$  (100 g agricultural lime),  $AL_2$  (200 g agricultural lime),  $OM_1 + AL_1$  (50 g organic manure + 50 g agricultural lime) and  $OM_2 + AL_2$  (100 g organic manure and 100 g agricultural lime).

**Results:** Results obtained on the RGR of the leaf dry weight of *P. vulgaris* treated with OM2 was the highest (0.50 g/wk) followed by  $OM_1$  (0.41 g/wk).  $OM_1 + AL_1$  had the highest RGR of the stem dry weight of *P. vulgaris* grown on soil from Calabar Municipality. In the RGR of the root dry weight, OM2 had the highest mean value in both plants grown on Akamkpa soil. Results obtained at 4

*\_*

weeks after planting (WAP) revealed that there was significant (P<0.05) increase in NAR of plants grown on soil from Akamkpa. The highest NAR was obtained for *V. aconitifolia* treated with OM2  $(0.0447 \text{ g/wk})$  followed by  $OM_2 + AL_2$   $(0.0057 \text{ g/wk})$  for both *V. aconitifolia* and *P. vulgaris. P. vulgaris* grown on Akamkpa and Odukpani soils treated with AL<sub>2</sub> (0.0032 g/wk), OM<sub>1</sub> + AL<sub>1</sub> (0.0041  $g/wk$ ) and  $OM<sub>2</sub>+ AL<sub>2</sub>$  (0.0062  $g/wk$ ) had the highest NAR at 8 WAP.

**Conclusion:** The RGR and NAR of the two bean varieties were improved following treatments with organic manure and agricultural lime.

*Keywords: Relative growth rate; net assimilation rate; dry matter and soil.*

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

*Phaseolus vulgaris* (L.) and *Vigna aconitifolia* (Jacq.) are two important beans in the family Fabaceae. This is the third largest family of angiosperms after Orchidaceae (orchids) and Asteraceae, and second only to Poaceae (grasses) in terms of agricultural and economic importance [1]. The family includes a large number of domesticated species for human and horticultural purposes [2]. Beans as important legume have been globally used for more than a century, supporting the food availability especially to the low income regions [3]. Beans are used as food for humans and feed for animals [4]. Primarily, beans are good for all livestock since they have high protein content and relatively low fibre [5]. In addition, its consumption provides mineral especially iron and zinc. Most legumes have symbiotic nitrogenfixing bacteria in the root nodules, hence they play a key role in nitrogen fixation in soil. *P. vulgaris* and *V. aconitifolia* are considered important sources of dietary protein for the majority of the people who cannot afford expensive animal protein thereby eliminating human malnutrition. The leaves are also occasionally used as vegetable and the straw as fodder [6].

The performance of plants depends very much on the soil factors. Acidic and alkaline soils have been found to affect the yield and development of legumes [7,8]. The fundamental factors associated with acidic and alkaline soils include, nutrient deficiencies such as phosphorous, calcium, nitrogen, and the presence of phytotoxic substances such as soluble aluminum and manganese [9]. Under acidic and alkaline conditions, some of these vital nutrients such as phosphorous, calcium and magnesium are unavailable or are only present in minute quantities (Liu, et al. 2010). It has been found that herbaceous plants are more sensitive to the effects of acidic and alkaline soils than woody plants [10]. Adverse impacts of nutrients

deficiency on plants include chlorosis, stunting, senescence and several other symptoms [11]. Legumes production require large amount of nutrients for their growth and development otherwise, physiological deficiencies can occur [12].

Over the years, inorganic fertilizers have been used worldwide to support and optimize the growth of plants. However, the use of organic fertilizers has gained more global interests in the last few decades due to concerns about the impacts of inorganic fertilizers in food chains, human health and the environment [13]. There is large increase in the use of organic fertilizers over inorganic fertilizers as nutrient source on many farms [14-18]. Apart from improving the soil fertility, structure and some biological properties of the soil, organic materials have the capacity to reduce soil acidity and aluminum saturation. A number of possible mechanisms have been suggested by several workers decades ago in their quest to elucidate how this occurs [19]. Another material that can be used to reduce soil acidity is limestone. Soil acidity has been reduced using different limes such as crushed limestone  $(CaCO<sub>3</sub>)$ , dolomitic lime  $(CaMqCO<sub>3</sub>)$ , slaked lime  $(Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>)$ , quick lime (CaO) [20-23] in previous studies. Apart from counteracting the effects of excess hydrogen and aluminum ions in the soil in order to reduce acidity [24], other benefits of liming such as its potential to reduce the toxicity of some micro elements by lowering their concentrations while increasing the availability of plant nutrients such as calcium, phosphorus, molybdenum and magnesium in the soil [25] and reducing the solubility and leaching of heavy metals [26] are sacrosanct in agriculture. The use of organic manure and agricultural limes as independent treatments notwithstanding, reports have shown that a combination effect of the two nutrient sources can be more effective in improving crop growth and yield components [16] Reyhand and Amiraslani [27], Luqueno, et al. [28], Manivannan, et al. [29] and Joshi, et al. [15]. The

present study was designed to assess the influence of organic manure and agricultural lime singly and in combination on the relative growth rate and net assimilation rate of *Phaseolus vulgaris* and *Vigna aconitifolia*.

## **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

## **2.1 Study Location**

The experimental site for this study was at the Greenhouse, Department of Plant and Ecological Studies, University of Calabar, Nigeria with an average temperature of 25±3ºC. Calabar is located between latitudes 4º78' and 5º09' N and longitudes 8º15' and 8º26' E and lies between the valleys of two rivers: The Great Qua River on the Eastern side and the Calabar River on the West. The total annual rainfall for the area is between 2109.5 mm and 4168.7 mm.

## **2.2 Seeds Collection and Planting Materials**

Seeds of *P. vulgaris* and *V. aconitifolia* were obtained from Institute of Agricultural Research and Training (IAR and T) Moor Plantation in Ibadan, Nigeria. Polythene bags (planting bags) were obtained from Ministry of Agriculture, Calabar. Agricultural lime was obtained from Cross River Agricultural Development Project while organic manure was obtained from the Department of Soil Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Calabar, Calabar.

## **2.3 Soil Sampling, Collection and Preparation**

Soil samples from 0-20 cm depth were collected from three Local Government Areas of Southern Cross River State. Soils with pH 4.0 were collected from three villages in Akamkpa (Old Netim, Ayaebam and Awi); soils with pH 7.0 were collected from Calabar Municipality in designated locations (Forestry and Wild-Life Plantation, University of Calabar, Esuk Atu Community, Lemna dumpsite – Itung Effanga) and soils with pH 9.0 were collected from three villages in Odukpani Local Government Area (Akpan 18 Community, Akim-Akim and Okoyong-Usang Abasi) using an auger. Soils from the same Local Government area with same pH were properly mixed to give a composite soil sample. Soil for germination and growth of plants were bulked air dried for three days, sieved through a 2 mm mesh to remove debris and were taken to Soil

Science Laboratory, University of Calabar for the physico-chemical analysis.

## **2.4 Experimental Design and Layout**

The experiment was conducted using a 2x3x7 factorial experimental layout in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with 3 replicates. Factor one were the two plant varieties (*P. vulgaris* and *V. aconitifotia*). Factor two were the three locations where soil samples were collected (Akamkpa-AK, Calabar Municipality-CM and Odukpani-OD). While factor three were the seven levels of treatment: control  $(0, g)$ , OM<sub>1</sub> (100) g organic manure),  $OM<sub>2</sub>$  (200 g organic manure),  $AL<sub>1</sub>$  (100 g agricultural lime),  $AL<sub>2</sub>$  (200 g agricultural lime),  $OM_1 + AL_1$  (50 g organic manure + 50 g agricultural lime) and  $OM<sub>2</sub> + AL<sub>2</sub>$ (100 g organic manure and 100 g agricultural lime).

## **2.5Planting Procedure and Treatment Application**

One hundred and twenty-six experimental polybags (16 cm internal diameter) perforated at the bottom were filled with 5 kg of each soil sample. These were divided into three groups of 42 polybags based on the three soil samples. In each soil sample, there were 21 polybags each for the two plant varieties using randomized complete block design (RCBD) replicated three times. The soils were treated with agricultural lime (AL) and organic manure (OM) singly and in combinations. The treated soils were allowed to stay for two weeks before seed sowing. This time lapse before planting was to allow for microbial activities and interaction within treatment combinations. Each polybag was sown with three seeds each of *P. vulgaris* and *V. aconitifotia* at a depth of 2 cm. Following germination, seedlings stalk were watered and grown for 8 weeks.

## **2.6 Determination of Leaf, Stem and Root Dry Weight**

Seven plants were carefully uprooted from each treated soil. In order to prevent some portions of the root from breaking off, the plants were placed in a container of water and the soil gently washed off. The stem of each plant was cut off from the root with a scissor while the leaves were picked from the stem by hand. The leaf, stem and root were dried to constant weight (DW) and mean weight of plants from each treatment were recorded.

#### **2.7 Determination of Relative Growth Rate**

The relative growth rate (RGR) measured the change in dry weight (dw) of different samples of *P. vulgaris* and *V. aconitifolia* grown on acidic and alkaline soil treated with different grams of organic manure and agricultural lime. The differences were calculated between plants grown on acidic and alkaline treated soils by the method of Hoffmann and Poorter, [30] and the dry weight of whole plant was used in the determination of RGR.

$$
RGR = \frac{(\ln W_2 - \ln W_1)}{t_2 - t_1} (g/wk)
$$

Where:



time interval during which time biomass increased from  $W_1 - W_2$ .

# **2.8 Determination of Net Assimilation Rate (NAR)**

NAR was calculated as the change in total plant biomass per leaf weight and time [31]. Time was used to show the photosynthetic effectiveness between *P. vulgaris and V. aconitifolia* grown on acidic and alkaline soils treated with organic manure and agricultural lime.

$$
\text{NAR} \quad = \quad \left(\frac{\text{InA}_2 - \text{InA}_1}{\text{A}_2 - \text{A}_1}\right) \left(\frac{W_2 - W_1}{t_2 - t_1}\right) \, \text{(g/wk)}
$$

Where:



#### **2.9 Statistical Analysis**

Data obtained on the relative growth and net assimilation rates were taken as the mean measurements of three replicates. Statistical analysis was performed using the statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) version 20.0

under a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Significant means were separated using the Duncan multiple range test at p<0.05.

#### **3. RESULTS**

# **3.1 Effect of Agricultural Lime and Organic Manure on Leaf Dry Weight (g/plant)**

Leaf dry weight (LDW) of *V. aconitifolia* grown in soils from Akamkpa and Odukpani treated with  $OM_1+ AL_1$  and  $OM_2$  showed increase (P<0.05) at 4 WAP and 8 WAP when compared to the plant grown on untreated soil (Table 1). The highest LDW of *V. aconitifolia* treated  $OM_1 + AL_1$  had the mean value of 3.47 g from Akampkpa soil and 4.79 g from Odukpani soil at 4 WAP as against untreated soil values of 0.57 g and 1.86 g. At 8 WAP, *V. aconitifolia* grown with OM<sub>1</sub>+ AL<sub>1</sub> had significant increase in LDW of 6.94 g and 9.58 g in Akamkpa and Odukpani soils compared to the controls (1.13 g and 3.71 g). Leaf dry weight of *P. vulgaris* and *V. aconitifolia* grown on AK soil treated with  $AL<sub>1</sub>$ and  $AL<sub>2</sub>$  resulted in a decrease in LDW compared to the control at 4 WAP and 8 WAP. There was no difference (P>0.05) in LDW at 4 WAP and 8 WAP for both plants grown on CM treated and untreated soils.

## **3.2 Effect of Agricultural Lime and Organic Manure on Stem Dry Weight (g/plant)**

Results of mean stem dry weight (SDW) of *P. vulgaris* and *V. aconitifolia* grown on acidic soil from Akamkpa, Odukpani and Calabar Municipality treated with agricultural lime and organic manure are presented in Table 2. Some soil amendment caused increase and decrease in SDW of plants when compared to the control. There was increase in mean stem dry weight of *P. vulgaris* grown on soil from AK treated with  $OM_2 + AL_2$ ,  $OM_1$  and  $OM_1 + AL_1$  with 1.57 g, 1.14 g and 1.02 g at 4 WAP compared to the control values of 0.37 g. *P. vulgaris* grown on acidic soil from AK treated with  $OM<sub>2</sub> + AL<sub>2</sub>$  at 8 WAP had the highest SDW with mean value of 3.14 g compared to untreated soil value of 0.74 g. *V. aconitifolia* grown on soil from OD treated with OM<sub>2</sub> had the highest stem dry weight value of 7.89 g compared to untreated soil value of 2.70 g at 8 WAP. There were no differences (P>0.05) in stem dry weight of *P. vulgaris* and *V. aconitifolia* grown on neutral soil from CM treated with



#### **Table 1. Effect of agricultural lime and organic manure on leaf dry weight (g/plant) of**  *Phaseolus vulgaris* **and** *Vigna aconitifolia*

*Mean values with different superscripts along the same vertical axis are significantly different from each other (p<0.05). WAP – Weeks after planting, AK: Akamkpa, CM: Calabar Municipality, OD: Odukpani.*

*Control - 0g, AL1 -Agricultural lime 100 g , OM1 -Organic manure 100 g, AL2 -Agricultural lime 200 g, OM2 - Organic manure 200 g, OM1 + AL1 – 50 g organic manure + 50 g Agricultural Lime, OM2 + AL2 – 100 g organic manure + 100 g Agricultural Lime*

agricultural lime and organic manure at 4 WAP and 8 WAP.

## **3.3 Effect of Agricultural Lime and Organic Manure on Root Dry Weight (g/plant)**

Results of root dry weight (RDW) of *P. vulgaris*  and *V. aconitifolia* grown on soils from different locations treated with agricultural lime and organic manure at 4 WAP and 8 WAP are presented in Table 3. The final root dry weight was higher than the initial in both plants. *V. aconitifolia* grown on soils from Akamkpa and Odukpani with treated with  $OM_1 + AL_1$  showed difference in RDW (0.36 g and 0.79 g) compared to untreated soils (0.13 g and 0.31 g). Results

showed variations in the root dry weight of both plants grown on Odukpani soil. The root dry weight of *P. vulgaris* and *V. aconitifolia* grown on treated and untreated soil from Calabar Municipality did not differ. However, both *P. vulgaris* and *V. aconitifolia* produced similar root dry weight at 4 WAP and 8 WAP obtained from OM2 (0.79 g) in *V. aconitifolia* during the final harvest when compared with the control (Table 3).

## **3.4 Effect of Agricultural Lime and Organic Manure on Relative Growth Rate of Leaf Dry Weight (g/wk)**

The results revealed that the relative growth rate of leaf dry weight of plants grown on treated soil

*Effa et al.; JALSI, 22(4): 1-13, 2019; Article no.JALSI.53542*

from Akamkpa and Calabar Municipality did not differ (p>0.05) from the untreated. However, plants grown on soils from Odukpani under treatment revealed increase in RGR of leaf dry weight when compared to the control. *P. vulagris* grown on soil from Odukpani treated with OM<sub>2</sub> had the highest RGR of leaf dry weight (0.50 g/wk) followed by  $OM<sub>1</sub>$  (0.41 g/wk),  $OM_1$  + AL<sub>1</sub> (0.37 g/wk) and AL<sub>1</sub> (0.36 g/wk) compared to the control value of 0.13 g/wk. Results have shown that *P. vulgaris* and *V. aconitifolia* grow on Odukpani soil treated with AL and OM had the highest RGR of leaf dry weight (Table 4).

## **3.5 Effect of Agricultural Lime and Organic Manure on Relative Growth Rate of Stem Dry Weight (g/wk)**

Stem dry weight of *P. vulgaris* and *V. aconitifolia* grown on soils from Akamkpa and Odukpani treated with AL and OM did not differ from the control. There was increase in the relative growth rate of stem dry weight of *P. vulgaris* and *V. aconitifolia* grown on soil from Calabar Municipality compared to the control. *P. vulgaris* grown on CM soil treated with OM1 +  $AL<sub>1</sub>$  had the highest RGR of stem dry weight of 0.48 g/wk followed by  $OM_1 + AL_1$  (0.36 g/wk) and  $OM_1$ 

**Table 2. Effect of agricultural lime and organic manure on stem dry weight (g/plant) of**  *Phaseolus vulgaris* **and** *Vigna aconitifolia*

Crop	<b>Treatment</b>	<b>4 WAP Location</b>						
		<b>AK</b>	<b>CM</b>	OD				
Phaseolus vulgaris	Control	$0.37^d \pm 0.12$	$0.12^a \pm 0.02$	$1.35^{de}$ ±0.33				
	AL <sub>1</sub>	$0.32^d$ ±0.12	$0.04^a \pm 0.08$	$0.68^{\rm e}$ ±0.22				
	AL <sub>2</sub>	$0.25^d \pm 0.25$	$0.00^a \pm 0.00$	$1.13^{de}$ ±0.26				
	OM <sub>1</sub>	$1.14^{bc}$ ±0.21	$0.12^a \pm 0.02$	$0.34^{\rm e}$ ±0.08				
	$OM_1 + AL_1$	$1.02^{bc}$ ±0.48	$0.03^a \pm 0.06$	$0.78^{\rm e}$ ±0.04				
	OM <sub>2</sub>	$0.62^d \pm 0.28$	$0.14^a \pm 0.06$	$0.39^{\rm e}$ ±0.07				
	$OM2 + AL2$	$1.57^{bc}$ ±0.85	$0.01^a \pm 0.02$	$1.46^{de}$ ±0.74				
Vigna aconitifolia	Control	$0.58^d \pm 0.33$	$0.08^a \pm 0.13$	$1.74^{de}$ ±1.83				
	$AL_1$	$0.30^d$ ±0.13	$0.10^a \pm 0.17$	$1.38^{de}$ ±1.18				
	AL <sub>2</sub>	$0.28^d \pm 0.21$ $0.04^a \pm 0.07$		$0.60^{\circ}$ ±0.28				
	OM <sub>1</sub>	$1.14^{bc}$ ±0.21	$0.10^a \pm 0.10$	$1.62^{\text{de}} \pm 0.86$				
	$OM1+AL1$	1.48d±0.89	$0.01^a \pm 0.02$	$2.71^{cd}$ ±0.45				
	OM <sub>2</sub>	$0.53^d \pm 0.21$ $0.11^a \pm 0.03$		$3.95^{\rm e}$ ±4.59				
	$OM2 + AL2$	$0.87^d$ ±0.41 $0.92^a \pm 0.11$		$1.25^{\text{de}} \pm 1.00$				
		8 WAP						
Phaseolus vulgaris	Control	$0.74^{d}$ ±0.24	$0.23^a \pm 0.32$	$2.70^{cd}$ ±1.67				
	AL <sub>1</sub>	$0.64^d \pm 0.23$	$0.09^a \pm 0.15$	$1.35^{de}$ ±10.45				
	AL <sub>2</sub>	$0.50^{\circ}$ ±0.50	$0.00^a \pm 0.00$	$2.24^{cd}$ ± 2.48				
	OM <sub>1</sub>	$2.27^{ab}$ ±0.43 $0.24^a \pm 0.05$		$0.68^{\rm e}$ ±0.39 $1.55^{de}$ ±1.38				
	$OM1+AL1$	$2.03^{ab}$ ±0.96	$0.07^a \pm 0.12$					
	OM <sub>2</sub>	$1.24^{bc}$ ±0.55	$1.27^a \pm 0.13$ $0.77^{\rm e}$ ±0.13					
	$OM2 + AL2$	$3.14^a \pm 1.70$	$0.02^a \pm 0.04$	$2.92^{cd}$ ±1.47				
Vigna aconitifolia	Control	$1.16^{bc}$ ±0.06	$0.15^{\circ}$ ±0.27	$3.48^{\circ}$ ±3.66				
	$AL_1$	$0.59^d$ ±0.26	$0.19^a \pm 0.03$	$2.76^{cd}$ ±2.36				
	AL <sub>2</sub>	$0.53^d$ ±0.47	$0.08^a \pm 0.13$	$1.21^{de}$ ±0.56				
	OM <sub>1</sub>	$2.27^{ab}$ ±0.43	$0.21^a \pm 0.19$	$3.24^c \pm 1.72$				
	$OM1+AL1$	$2.95^{ab}$ ±1.78	$0.02^a \pm 0.03$	$5.41^b \pm 0.91$				
	OM <sub>2</sub>	$1.06^{bc}$ ±0.42	$0.21^a \pm 0.05$	$7.89^a$ ±1.17				
	$OM2 + AL2$	$1.73^{bc}$ ±0.81	$0.26^a \pm 0.23$	$2.49^{cd}$ ±0.40				

*Mean values with different superscripts along the same vertical axis are significantly different from each other (p<0.05). WAP – Weeks after planting, AK: Akamkpa, CM: Calabar Municipality, OD: Odukpani. Control - 0g, AL1 -Agricultural lime 100 g , OM1 -Organic manure 100 g, AL2 -Agricultural lime 200 g, OM2 -*

*Organic manure 200 g, OM1 + AL1 – 50 g organic manure + 50 g Agricultural Lime, OM2 + AL2 – 100 g organic manure + 100 g Agricultural Lime*



#### **Table 3. Effect of agricultural lime and organic manure on root dry weight (g/plant) of**  *Phaseolus vulgaris* **and** *Vigna aconitifolia*

*Mean values with different superscripts along the same vertical axis are significantly different from each other (p<0.05). WAP – Weeks after planting, AK: Akamkpa, CM: Calabar Municipality, OD: Odukpani. Control - 0g, AL1 -Agricultural lime 100 g , OM1 -Organic manure 100 g, AL2 -Agricultural lime 200 g, OM2 - Organic manure 200 g, OM1 + AL1 – 50 g organic manure + 50 g Agricultural Lime, OM2 + AL2 – 100 g organic manure + 100 g Agricultural Lime.*

(0.23 g/wk) compared to the control (0.11 g/wk). The relative growth rate of stem dry weight of *V. aconitifolia* treated with  $AL_2$  (0.33 g/wk) and  $OM_1$ (0.24 g/wk) were higher than the control value of 0.15 g/wk (Table 5).

## **3.6 Effect of Agricultural Lime and Organic Manure on Relative Growth Rate of Root Dry Weight (g/wk)**

The result of relative growth rate of root dry weight of *P. vulgaris* and *V. aconitifolia* depicted a trend of variation among treatments compared to the control. Soil from Akamkpa showed (P<0.05) variation in relative growth rate among the different treatments. *P. vulgaris* grown on Akamkpa soil treated with  $OM<sub>2</sub>$  had the highest relative growth rate (0.25 g/wk). The relative growth rate of *V. aconitifolia* grown on Akamkpa soil treated with OM<sub>2</sub> had the highest relative growth rate of root dry weight (0.38 g/wk) compared to the control (0.15 g/wk) as shown in Table 6.

## **3.7 Effect of Agricultural Lime and Organic Manure on Net Assimilation Rate (g/wk)**

The results on the net assimilation rate (NAR) of *P. vulgaris* and *V. aconitifolia* grown on soils from

Akamkpa, Calabar Municipality and Odukpani treated with organic manure and agricultural lime are presented in Table 7. Results obtained at 4 WAP revealed that there was increase in NAR of plants grown on soil from Akamkpa. The highest

NAR was obtained for *V. aconitifolia* treated with OM<sub>2</sub> (0.0447 g/wk) followed by OM<sub>2</sub> + AL<sub>2</sub> (0.0057 g/wk) for both *V. aconitifolia* and *P. vulgaris*. There were no differences in NAR for both plants grown on soil from Calabar





*Mean values with different superscripts along the same vertical axis are significantly different from each other (p<0.05). WAP – Weeks after planting, AK: Akamkpa, CM: Calabar Municipality, OD: Odukpani. Control - 0g, AL1 -Agricultural lime 100 g , OM1 -Organic manure 100 g, AL2 -Agricultural lime 200 g, OM2 - Organic manure 200 g, OM1 + AL1 – 50 g organic manure + 50 g Agricultural Lime, OM2 + AL2 – 100 g organic manure + 100 g Agricultural Lime*





*Mean values with different superscripts along the same vertical axis are significantly different from each other (p<0.05). WAP – Weeks after planting, AK: Akamkpa, CM: Calabar Municipality, OD: Odukpani. Control - 0g, AL1 -Agricultural lime 100 g , OM1 -Organic manure 100 g, AL2 -Agricultural lime 200 g, OM2 - Organic manure 200 g, OM1 + AL1 – 50 g organic manure + 50 g Agricultural Lime, OM2 + AL2 – 100 g organic manure + 100 g Agricultural Lime*

Crop	Treatment	Location				
		AK.	<b>CM</b>	<b>OD</b>		
Phaseolus vulgaris	Control	$0.15^{\rm b}$ ±0.04	$0.14^b \pm 0.01$	$0.12^a \pm 0.01$		
	AL <sub>1</sub>	$0.19^b \pm 0.02$	$0.19^{ab}$ ±0.02	$0.15^a \pm 0.03$		
	AL <sub>2</sub>	$0.20^{ab}$ ±0.01	$0.00^{b}$ ±0.00	$0.23^{\circ}$ ±0.06		
	OM <sub>1</sub>	$0.23^{ab}$ ±0.03	$0.21^{ab}$ ±0.02	$0.14^a \pm 0.01$		
	$OM_1 + AL_1$	$0.24^{ab}$ ±0.01	$0.23^{ab}$ ±0.01	$0.11^a \pm 0.03$		
	OM <sub>2</sub>	$0.25^{ab}$ ±0.02	$0.22^{ab}$ ±0.01	$0.18^a \pm 0.02$		
	$OM2 + AL2$	$0.23^{ab}$ ±0.01 $0.12^b \pm 0.02$		$0.12^{\circ}$ ±0.04		
Vigna aconitifolia	Control	$0.15^{\circ}$ ±0.01	$0.15^{\circ}$ ±0.03	$0.16^a \pm 0.04$		
	AL <sub>1</sub>	$0.21^{ab}$ ±0.06	$0.19^{ab}$ ± 0.04	$0.20^{\circ}$ ±0.03		
	AL <sub>2</sub>	$0.07^b \pm 0.02$	$0.00^{\rm b}$ ± 0.00	$0.26^a \pm 0.02$		
	OM <sub>1</sub>	$0.23^{ab}$ ±0.04	$0.24^a \pm 0.02$	$0.15^a \pm 0.03$		
	$OM1+AL1$	$0.18^{b}$ ±0.06	$0.00^{\rm b}$ ±0.00	$0.20^a \pm 0.05$		
	OM <sub>2</sub>	$0.38^a \pm 0.07$	$0.16^{b}$ ±0.04	$0.17^a \pm 0.03$		
	$OM2 + AL2$	$0.12^b \pm 0.01$	$0.21^{ab}$ ±0.06	$0.11^a \pm 0.04$		

**Table 6. Effect of agricultural lime and organic manure on relative growth rate of root dry weight (g/wk) of** *Phaseolus vulgaris* **and** *Vigna aconitifolia*

*Mean values with different superscripts along the same vertical axis are significantly different from each other (p<0.05). WAP – Weeks after planting, AK: Akamkpa, CM: Calabar Municipality, OD: Odukpani. Control - 0g, AL1 -Agricultural lime 100 g , OM1 -Organic manure 100 g, AL2 -Agricultural lime 200 g, OM2 - Organic manure 200 g, OM1 + AL1 – 50 g organic manure + 50 g Agricultural Lime, OM2 + AL2 – 100 g organic manure + 100 g Agricultural Lime*

Municipality at 4 WAP (P>0.05)*.* At 6 WAP *V.*  aconitifolia grown on soil treated with OM<sub>2</sub> (0.0621 g/wk) had the highest NAR followed by  $OM_2$  + AL<sub>2</sub> (0.0314 g/wk) which were (P<0.05) higher than other treatments for soil from Akamkpa. At 6 WAP, *P. vulgaris* grown on soil from Akamkpa treated with  $OM_1$  (0.392 g/wk),  $OM_1$  + AL<sub>1</sub> (0.401 g/wk),  $OM_2$  (0.411 g/wk) and  $OM<sub>2</sub> + AL<sub>2</sub>$  (0.319 g/wk) had the highest NAR. At 8 WAP, NAR for both plants grown on treated soil from all the treatments from Akamkpa had similar NAR which were higher (P<0.05) than the control (P>0.05). *Phaseolus vulgaris* grown on Akamkpa and Odukpani soils treated with  $AL<sub>2</sub>$ (0.0032 g/wk),  $OM_1 + AL_1$  (0.0041 g/wk) and  $OM<sub>2</sub>+ AL<sub>2</sub>$  (0.0062 g/wk) had the highest NAR at 8 WAP (Table 7).

#### **4. DISCUSSION**

Growth is of paramount ecological importance for plant and it is highly influenced by soil nutrients. A good determination of growth rate in any plant will help in strategizing measures for its improvement through adoption of reliable agronomic tools. *V. aconitifolia* grown on organic manure singly and in combination produced consistently higher leaf, stem and root dry weights than *P. vulgaris*. The controls had lower dry matter yield while the dry matter of plants grown on soil from Calabar Municipality in all the

treatments were low and statistically similar. This may suggests that soil from Calabar Municipality lack the necessary nutrients needed for plant growth and yield. The findings corroborates with the works of Badar and Qureshi [32] and Badar, et al. [33]. They reported increase in shoot and root dry weight of cowpea following biofertilizer application. Also, the result is in agreement with Unagwu, et al. [34] who reported increase in dry matter yield of maize after treatment with biofertilizer. This positive growth response of *P. vulgaris and V. aconitoflia* following application of agricultural lime and organic manure may be related to the ability of the treatments to increase the soil pH resulting in availability of nutrients for optimum plant growth.

Relative growth rate is the exponential increase in the size relative to the size of plant present at the start of a given time interval. It is a prominent indicator of plant productivity related to environmental stress and disturbance regimes. The relative growth rate of leaf dry weight was higher in *P. vulgaris* grown on Odukpani soil treated with organic manure and combination of organic manure and agricultural lime. The relative growth rate of stem and root dry weight also showed higher values with organic manure especially with 200 g organic manure. The implication is that, organic manure may have the potential to enhance the growth rate of plant

		4 WAP			6 WAP			8 WAP		
Crop	Treatment	AK	<b>CM</b>	<b>OD</b>	AK	<b>CM</b>	<b>OD</b>	<b>AK</b>	CМ	<b>OD</b>
Phaseolus	Control	$0.0001^{\circ}$ ±0.0001	$0.0008^a \pm 0.0001$	$0.0005^{\circ}$ ±0.0003	$0.0012^{\circ}$ ±0.0001	$0.0082^{\circ}$ ±0.0001	$0.0001^{\circ}$ ±0.0001	$0.0010^{b}$ ±0.0001	$0.00^a \pm 0.00$	$0.0038^{\circ}$ ±0.0001
vulgaris	AL <sub>1</sub>	$0.0006^{\circ}$ ±0.0002	$0.0007^a \pm 0.0001$	$0.0004^{\circ}$ ±0.0002	$0.0006^{\circ}$ ±0.0001	$0.0007^{\circ}$ ±0.0001	$0.0361^{b}$ ±0.0001	$0.0006^a \pm 0.0001$	$0.00^a \pm 0.00$	$0.0004^{\circ}$ ±0.0001
	AL <sub>2</sub>	$0.0005^{\circ}$ ±0.0002	$0.00^a \pm 0.00$	$0.0004^{\circ}$ ±0.0002	$0.0012^{\circ}$ ±0.0001	$0.00^a \pm 0.00$	$0.0360^{\circ}$ ±0.01	$0.0031^a \pm 0.0001$	$0.00^a \pm 0.00$	$0.0072^{\circ}$ ±0.0003
	OM <sub>1</sub>	$0.0031^{\circ}$ ±0.0001	$0.0008^a \pm 0.0001$	$0.0016^{\circ}$ ±0.0001	$0.0041^{\circ}$ ±0.0002	$0.0006^a \pm 0.0001$	$0.0392^{\circ}$ ±0.01	$0.0032^a \pm 0.0001$	$0.00^a \pm 0.00$	$0.0010^{\circ}$ ±0.0001
	$OM1+AL1$	$0.0039^{\circ}$ ±0.0002	$0.0007^a \pm 0.0001$	$0.0032^{\circ}$ ±0.0003	$0.0044^{\circ}$ ±0.0002	$0.0008^a \pm 0.0001$	$0.4019^a \pm 0.02$	$0.0041^a \pm 0.0002$	$0.00^a \pm 0.00$	$0.0061^a \pm 0.0003$
	OM <sub>2</sub>	0.0032c±0.0001	$0.0008^a \pm 0.0001$	$0.0036^{\circ}$ ±0.0002	$0.0038^{\circ}$ ±0.0001	$0.0007^a \pm 0.0001$	$0.411^a \pm 0.02$	$0.0032^a \pm 0.0001$	$0.00^a \pm 0.00$	$0.0066^{\circ}$ ±0.0003
	$OM2 + AL2$	$0.0057^{\circ}$ ±0.0003	$0.00^a \pm 0.00$	$0.0018^{\circ}$ ±0.0001	$0.0061^{\circ}$ ±0.0003	$0.00^a \pm 0.00$	$0.319^{a}$ ±0.0001	$0.0062^a \pm 0.0003$	$0.00^a \pm 0.00$	$0.0057^{\circ}$ ±0.0003
Vigna	Control	$0.0006^{\circ}$ ±0.0003	$0.0018^a \pm 0.0001$	$0.0002^d \pm 0.0003$	$0.0022^{\circ}$ ±0.0003	$0.0016^a \pm 0.0001$	$0.0041^{\circ}$ ±0.0001	$0.0006^{\circ}$ ±0.0003	$0.00^a \pm 0.00$	$0.0031^{\circ}$ ±0.0001
aconitifolia	AL <sub>1</sub>	$0.0023^{\circ}$ ±0.0001	$0.0016^a + 0.0001$	$0.0031^{\circ}$ ±0.0002	$0.0031^{\circ}$ ±0.0001	$0.0015^{\circ}$ ±0.0001	$0.0032^{\circ}$ ±0.0001	$0.0051^a \pm 0.0003$	$0.00^a \pm 0.00$	$0.0033^{\circ}$ ±0.0001
	AL <sub>2</sub>	$0.0025^{\circ}$ ±0.0001	$0.0018^a \pm 0.0001$	$0.0036^{\circ}$ ±0.0001	$0.0032^{\circ}$ ±0.0001	$0.0020^a \pm 0.0001$	$0.0031^{\circ}$ ±0.0001	$0.0031^{\circ}$ ±0.0002	$0.00^a \pm 0.00$	$0.0023^{\circ}$ ±0.0001
	OM <sub>1</sub>	$0.0006^{\circ}$ ±0.0001	$0.0019^a \pm 0.0001$	$0.0036^{\circ}$ ±0.0001	$0.0061^{\circ}$ ±0.0003	$0.0015^{\circ}$ ±0.0001	$0.0051^{\circ}$ ±0.0002	$0.0032^{\circ}$ ±0.0002	$0.00^a \pm 0.00$	$0.0022^{\circ}$ ±0.0001
	$OM1+AL1$	$0.0201^{\circ}$ ±0.0001	$0.0018^a \pm 0.0001$	$0.0021^{\circ}$ ±0.0001	$0.0051^{\circ}$ ±0.0003	$0.0018^a \pm 0.0001$	$0.0044^{\circ}$ ±0.0003	$0.0031^a \pm 0.0002$	$0.00^a \pm 0.00$	$0.0013^{\circ}$ ±0.0001
	OM <sub>2</sub>	$0.0447^4 \pm 0.0002$	$0.0021^a \pm 0.0001$	$0.0561^a \pm 0.0003$	$0.0621^a \pm 0.0003$	$0.0018^a \pm 0.0001$	$0.0511^{\circ}$ ±0.0003	$0.0041^a \pm 0.0002$	$0.00^a \pm 0.00$	$0.0026^{\circ}$ ±0.0001
	$OM2 + AL2$	$0.0057^{\circ}$ ±0.0003	$0.0019^{\rm a}$ ±0.0001	$0.0311^{b}$ ±0.0001	$0.0314^b \pm 0.0002$	$0.0019^a \pm 0.0001$	$0.0481^{\circ}$ ±0.0003	$0.0042^{\circ}$ ±0.0002	$0.00^a \pm 0.00$	$0.0031^{\circ}$ ±0.0001

**Table 7. Effect of agricultural lime and organic manure on net assimilation rate (g/wk) of** *Phaseolus vulgaris* **and** *Vigna aconitifolia*

*Mean values with different superscripts along the same vertical axis are significantly different from each other (p<0.05). WAP – Weeks after planting, AK: Akamkpa, CM: Calabar Municipality, OD: Odukpani.* Control - 0g, AL<sub>1</sub> -Agricultural lime 100 g, OM<sub>1</sub> -Organic manure 100 g, AL<sub>2</sub> -Agricultural lime 200 g, OM<sub>2</sub> - Organic manure 200 g, OM<sub>1</sub> + AL<sub>1</sub> - 50 g organic manure + 50 g Agricultural Lime, OM<sub>2</sub> - Organic manure *OM2 + AL2 – 100 g organic manure + 100 g Agricultural Lime*

singly and in combination with agricultural lime. It has been earlier remarked by Shipley [35] that the variation in the net assimilation rate is the main determinant of the relative growth rate. This may be the reason for the similar pattern of results obtained for net assimilation rate and relative growth rate.

Net assimilation rate is defined as the increase in plant dry weight per unit leaf area per unit time. It is a function of the photosynthetic effectiveness of leaves of a plant and its leafiness. It is a complex physico-chemical variables associated with photosynthetic and respiration rates [36]. In the present study, the net assimilation rates were consistently higher in plants treated with organic manure and combination of organic manure with agricultural lime. The earlier report of Effa, et al*.*  [16] reveled that organic manure and a combination of organic manure and agricultural lime promoted faster growth rates in *P. vulgaris*  and *V. aconitifolia*. It is therefore possible that plants with faster growth rate may likely have higher net assimilation rate as seen in the present study. This result is in line with an early finding by Li, et al. [37] where plants with fastergrowth had high net assimilation rates and plants with high assimilation rates always grew faster.

## **5. CONCLUSION**

Organic manure is more influential in contributing to the relative growth of *P. vulgaris* and *V. aconitifolia*. Interestingly, a combined effect of the two manures promoted higher growth rates and net assimilation rates than single effect.

#### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## **REFERENCES**

- 1. Garg KB, Burman U, Kathju S. The influence of phosphorous nutrition on the physiological response of moth bean genotypes to drought. Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science. 2014;167(4): 503-508.
- 2. Lewis GB, Schrire BM, Lock M. Legumes of the world. United Kingdom: Royal Botanical Garden, Kew; 2005.
- 3. Brink M, Belay G. Plant resources of tropical Africa I. cereals and pulses, PROTA foundation. Leiden, Netherland:

Wageningen, Netherlands/Backhuys Publishers; 2006.

- 4. Amira AE, Fallal E, Fatma FM. Metabolic changes in broad bean infected by botrytis fabae in response to mushroom spent straw. Asian Journal of Plant Science. 2003;2(14):1059-1068.
- 5. Heuzé V, Tran G, Nozière P, Lebas F. Common bean *(Phaseolus vulgaris)*. Feedipedia, a programme by INRA, CIRAD, AFZ and FAO; 2015.

AVailable:https://www.feedipedia.org/node/ 266

Last updated on October 20, 2015, 14:50. Retrieved January 3rd, 2020

- 6. Ndakidemi PA, Nyaky AS, Mkuchu M, Woomer PL. Fertilization and inoculation of *Phaseolus vulgaris* in Arusha, Tanzania. (Ed. F. D. Dakora). In 'Proceedings of the 8th Congress of the African Association for Biological Nitrogen Fixation'*.*). Cape Town: University of Cape Town. 1998;2.
- 7. Brady N, Weil R. The nature and properties of soils. New Jersey: Pearson Prentice Hall; 2008.
- 8. Thakuria D, Hazarika S, Krishnappa R. Soil acidity and management options. Indian Journal of Fertilizers. 2016;12(12): 40-56.
- 9. Carren RA. Calcium magnesium imbalance in clovers-a cause of negative yield response to liming. Plant and Soil. 1991;134:107-114.
- 10. Heckle EA, Cisse D, Thiaw S, Ehlers JD. Development of cowpea cultivars and germplasm by the bean, cowpea CRSP*.*  Field Crops Research. 2005;82:103-134.
- 11. Evans IS, Cimor NF, Dacosta F. Leaf surface and histological pertubations of leaves of *Phaseolus vulgaris* and *Vigna aconitifolia* to acidic and alkaline soil exposure. American Journal of Botany. 1997;4:304-313.
- 12. Takarhashi K. Physiological disorders. In: Talekar NS, Griggs TD. (Eds.)*.* Chinese Cabbage*.* Proceedings First International Symposium Shanhua. Taiwan: AVRDC; 1981.
- 13. Shehata SA, Ahmed YM, Emad A, Darwish OS. Influence of compost rates and application time on growth, yield and chemical composition of dry bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L)*.* Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences. 2011;5(9): 530-536.
- 14. Kannan PA, Savannah, SK. Biological properties of soil as influenced by different organic manure. Research Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science. 2006; 30(2):45-70.
- 15. Joshi DKS, Hooda JC, Bhatt BL, Gupta HS. Suppressive effects of composts on soil-borne and foliar diseases of French bean in the field of Western Himalayas. Crop Protection. 2016;28:608- 615.
- 16. Effa EA, Mofunanya AAJ, Ngele BA. Effect of organic manure and agricultural lime on growth and yield of two bean varieties. International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*.* 2019;30(4):1-18.
- 17. Menon MV, Bhaskar R, Praamela D, Krishnankutty J. Seed production of vegetable cowpea under integrated nutrient management. Legume Resources. 2010;33:299-301.
- 18. Adeoye PA, Adeoye SE, Musa JJ. Growth and yield response cowpea to poultry and cattle manure as amendments on sandy loam soil plot. Agricultural Journal. 2011;6: 218-221.
- 19. Gaur AC. Bulky organic manures and crop residues. In: HLS Tandon organic matter recyclable wastes and bio-fertilizer, Fertilizer Development and Consultation Organization, New Delhi. 1991;105.
- 20. Lathwell DJ. Crop response to liming of ultisols and oxisols. New York: College of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Cornell International Agricultural Bulletin. 2012;35. Available:www.sciencedirect.com (Retrieved July 20, 2018)
- 21. Buri MM, Wakatsuki T, Issaka RN. Extent and management of low pH soils in Nigeria. Soil Science Plant Nutrition. 2005;51(5):755-759.
- 22. Kisinyo PO, Othieno JR, Okalebo MJ, Kipsat AK Serem, Obiero DO. Effects of lime and phosphorus application on early growth of *Leucaena* in acid soils. African Crop Science Conference Proceedings. 2005;7:1233-1236.
- 23. Rothwell SA, Dodd IC. Xylem sap calcium concentrations do not explain liminginduced inhibition of legume gas exchange. Plant and Soil. 2014;382:17- 30.
- 24. Fageria NK, Baligar VC. Enhancing nitrogen use efficiency in crop

plants. Advances in Agronomy. 2005; 88:97-185.

- 25. Naidu R, Syers JK, Tillman RW, Kirkman JH. Effect of liming and added phosphate on charge characteristics of acid soils. Journal of Soil Science. 1990;41:157-164.
- *26.* Sauve S, Martines CE, McBridge M, Hendershot W. Adsorption of free lead  $(Pb^{2+})$  by pedogenic oxides, ferrihydrite, and leaf compost. Soil Science Society of American Journal. 2000;64:595-599*.*
- 27. Reyhand MK, Amiraslani F. Studying the relationship between vegetation and physico-chemical properties of soil, Case study: Tebas Region, Iran. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition. 2006;5:169-171.
- 28. Luqueno FF, Reyes-Varela T, Martinez-Suarez S, Dendooven L. Effect of different nitrogen sources on plant characteristics and yield of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L*.*). Biology and Technology. 2010;101:396-403.
- 29. Manivannan SM, Balamurugan K, Parthasarathi G, Ranganathan LS. Effect of vermicompost on soil fertility and crop productivity – bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*). Journal of Environmental Biology. 2009; 30(2):275-281.
- 30. Hoffmann WA, Poorter H. Avoiding bias in calculations of relative growth rate. Annals of Botany. 2002;90(1):37-42.
- 31. Heilmeier H, Schulze ED, Wale DM. Carbon and nitrogen partitioning in the biennial monocarp *Arctium tomentosum* mill. Oecologia. 1986;70:466-467.
- 32. Badar R, Qureshi SA. Comparative effect of *Trichoderma hamatum* and host-specific *Rhizobium* species on growth of *Vigna mungo*. Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science. 2012;02(04):128-132.
- 33. Badar R, Malik H, Hyas A. Influence of organic and biofertilizers on physical and biochemical parameters of *Vigna unguiculata*. Journal of Applied Pharma-ceutical Science. 2015;1(3):738- 748.
- 34. Unagwu BO, Asadu CLA, Ezeaku PI. Residual effects of organic and NPK fertilizers on maize performance at different soil pH levels. IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science. 2013; 5(5):47-53.
- 35. Shipley B. Trade-offs between net assimilation rate and specific leaf area in determining relative growth rate:

*Effa et al.; JALSI, 22(4): 1-13, 2019; Article no.JALSI.53542*

Relationship with daily irradiance. Functional Ecology. 2002;16:682-689.

36. Komings H. Physiological and morphological differences between plants with high NAR or high LAR as related to environmental conditions: Causes and consequences of variation in growth rate and productivity of higher plants. The Hague: SPB Academic Publishing; 1989.

37. Li X, Schmid B, Wang F, Paine C. Net assimilation rate determines the growth rate of 14 species of subtropical forest trees. Plos One. 2016;11(3):644.

 $\_$  , and the set of th *© 2019 Effa et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

> *Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/53542*