

# International Journal of Environment and Climate Change

Volume 13, Issue 8, Page 2208-2216, 2023; Article no.IJECC.101542 ISSN: 2581-8627

(Past name: British Journal of Environment & Climate Change, Past ISSN: 2231–4784)

# Telangana Jonna 1(CSV 41): A High Yielding, Dual Purpose, Potential Sorghum Variety

S. Maheshwaramma <sup>a++\*</sup>, M. V. Nagesh Kumar <sup>b#</sup>, C. V. Sameer Kumar <sup>c†</sup>, S. Ramesh <sup>a++</sup>, K. Kavitha <sup>d‡</sup>, M. Shankar <sup>a++</sup>, A. Sriram <sup>a++</sup> and M. Goverdhan <sup>e†</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Regional Agricultural Research Station, PJTSAU, Palem, Nagarkurnool Dist. 509 215, Telangana, India.

<sup>b</sup> Maize Research Centre, PJTSAU, Rajendranagar, 500 030, Hyderabad, Telangana, India. <sup>c</sup> Department of Genetics & Pl.Br., College of Agriculture, PJTSAU, Rajendranagar, 500 030 Hyderabad, Telangana, India.

<sup>d</sup> AICRP on Pesticide Residue, PJTSAU, Rajendranagar, 500 030, Hyderabad, Telangana, India.

<sup>e</sup> AICRP on IFS, PJTSAU, Rajendranagar, 500 030 Hyderabad, Telangana, India.

# Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i82179

# Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here:

https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/101542

Received: 12/04/2023 Accepted: 14/06/2023

Published: 24/06/2023

# Original Research Article

## **ABSTRACT**

**Aims:** The aim of this study was popularize the developed high yielding, dual purpose, potential sorghum variety and its acceptability by the farming community.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Scientist;

<sup>\*</sup>Principal Scientist & Head;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Senior Professor & Head;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Senior Scientist;

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: mahiphd@gmail.com;

Study of Design: Randomized Block Design.

**Place and Duration of Study:** The Present study was conducted at Regional Agricultural Research Station, Palem, Nagarkurnool and evaluated across fifteen AICRP centers at national level from 2010 to 2019.

**Methodology:** A high yielding, dual purpose sorghum variety was derived from a cross between SPV-86 x ICSR-89064 through Pedigree method of breeding and subsequently it was evaluated at station level from 2013 – 2015 and also at AICRP system from fifteen centers during 2016 – 2018 for its grain and fodder yield and resistance to pests and diseases and showed superior performance over the three nation checks.

**Results:** Sorghum culture SPV 2437 recorded highest grain and fodder yield of 3179.8 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 15900.2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and yielded +39.25%, +3.86% and +14.88% higher grain yield and +92.48, +13.59, and +8.46 higher fodder yield superiority than checks CSV 17, CSV 20 and CSV-27 and shown 3.67 mean field grade and 4.37 mean threshed grade showing resistant reaction to grain mold disease.

**Conclusion:** The sorghum variety SPV 2437 with higher grain and fodder yield, resistance to pest and disease and good cooking quality as compared to the check varieties was released through central varietal release committee during 2020 for Zone I (Andhra Pradesh, Telangana, Tamil Nadu, Rajasthan and Gujarat states) on the name of Telangana Jonna 1/CSV 41 and gaining its importance across the states for its high potential yield.

Keywords: Telangana Jonna 1; central variety; grain mold; shoot fly; protein.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

"Sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench] is a C4 crop grown internationally at any type of soil can withstand to harsh climates, scanty rainfall and provides food. animal fodder. alcoholic beverages, and biofuels. It is the fifth most important cereal crop globally after wheat, maize, rice and barley. India is the fifth largest producer of sorghum in the world. It is the second cheapest source of energy and micronutrients after pearl millet, and majority of population in the central India depends on sorghum for their dietary and energy requirements" [1]. "It can be very well fitted in cropping system with limited irrigation facilities. Under changing climate scenario, sorghum being a drought-hardy crop will play an important role in food, feed and fodder" [2]. "Sorghum is cultivated in an area of 40.25 mha in the world with a production of 58.70 MT and productivity of 1458 kgha<sup>-1</sup> [3].

It is a good source of carbohydrates (68%), proteins (10%), micronutrients and phytochemicals with nutraceutical properties and considered as "healthy cereal" [4]. "It is resilient crop for the diverse environmental conditions to perform well in marginal conditions under limited water and temperature without competing with other food crops" [5]. "ICAR established All-India Coordinated Sorghum Improvement Project (AICSIP) in December 1969 at IARI in New Delhi for multi-location testing of varieties. The first commercial hybrid CSH 1 was released in 1964

and it showed the way to development of more hybrids like maize. Breeding could also identify and released high yielding varieties in various states. Some of these varieties are dual purpose type. Major advantage of varieties over hybrids was their relative better grain quality and multiple resistance or tolerance against major pests and diseases" [6].

Sorghum crop is attacked by more than 150 insect species which results 32% crop loss [7]. From 150 insect species, sorghum shoot fly, Atheriaona soccata (Rondani) Muscidae), the important damaging pest which start damage from seedling to 5 weeks of sowing leading to 75.6% yield losses [8]. The pest severity can be minimized by adopting good management practices, and cultivating resistant cultivars. Natural enemies, insecticides and host plant resistance have been employed for shoot fly management to minimize the losses [9]. Improvement of sorghum for resistance to shootfly is most important goal for rain fed sorghum. Although several varieties and hybrids are available, the yield improvements are minimal [10]. Spotted stem borer, Chilo partellus attacks the sorghum crop from two weeks after germination until crop harvest and it affects all parts of plant leaving the roots. A number of genotypes resistant to C. partellus are available, but the levels of resistance are low to moderate. Stem borers are difficult pest to control, largely because of the nocturnal habits of the adults and

the cryptic behavior of the larvae, which reside inside plant stems.

decreases Sorahum diseases productivity. production of quality grain in grain sorghum, fodder yield and quality in forage sorghum and sugar yield in sweet sorghum. During kharif grain mold, downy mildew, anthracnose and ergot are major diseases [11]. The grain mold disease occurs every year in various parts of India causing considerable economic losses. It starts with infection at the time of anthesis and reaches its peak at grain maturity. Its adverse effects do not end at grain maturity and continues even after harvest and in storage [12]. Traditional plant breeding involves recombination and selection of genotypes with different genetic background (active gene banks, core collections and association panels) and depends on exploiting natural variation [13]. Sorghum is a gluten-free grain that is rich in carbohydrates, protein fibre, vitamins and minerals viz.. magnesium. phosphorus, potassium, calcium, iron antioxidants. Proteins are second significant component of sorghum and it fluctuates along with amino acid profile .Environmental variables also contribute for the variance in protein content among organisms [14].

The productivity of sorghum in India is low i e., kg/ha compared to global average productivity 1,444 kg/ha [15]. Occurrence of uneven rainfall, low fertile soils, incidence of insect pests and diseases, and poor crop input management are some of the attributing characters for low productivity. Due irregularities in rainfall distribution the water deficit is significantly increased which is exacerbated by climate change [16,17]. Sorghum possesses a diverse range of morphological, anatomical and physiological, characteristics that enables it to survive under stress conditions [18]. Sorghum hybrids are dominated in the rainy season and the post-rainy season is dominated by openpollinated varieties which contribute to low sorghum productivity [19]. The majority of rabi sorghum in the country is grown under receding soil moisture conditions and is commonly exposed to pre or postflowering moisture stress [20].

Crop improvement is a continuous programme and potential varieties and hybrids in terms of productivity and resistance to pests and diseases with potential genetic background are developed and released by the Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University on the name of Telangana Jonna 1 during the year 2020 for the benefit of the farming community.

#### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was carried out at Regional Agricultural Research Station (RARS), Palem from kharif 2013 to 2019. The main objective is to develop a high yielding, dual purpose sorghum variety through the pedigree method with two parents of SPV-86 x ICSR-89064. The female parent is characterized by purple pigmented, medium juicy stem, large leaves, elliptic ear head and semi compact, grain elliptical, bold, tolerant to shootfly, charcoal rot and drought while the ICSR 89064 is a high vielding and dual purpose tolerance to grain mold disease developed at ICRISAT used as male parent. The nature of the soil is light red and all agronomic management practices like weeds. insect pests, and foliar disease control were carried out as recommended for the crop by using a combination of chemical and cultural practices. Pedigree method of breeding was followed in F3, F4 and F5 populations by selecting single plant for the characters like height, strong stem, long panicle, semi compact panicle, elliptical shape grains. The same process was continued up to F6 till all these lines attained same height, panicle length, semi compact panicle and elliptic shape grains. It was subsequently evaluated in the yield trials from kharif 2013 to 2015 at Regional Agricultural Research Station, Palem as PSV 313 (Table 1). Each sorghum genotype was planted on six rows of 5-m length plot by using between- and withinrow spacing of 45 and 10 cm, respectively. During harvest, the four central rows within each plot were sampled for grain yield and fodder yield. Each genotype was sown in six rows of 5 m in length during the first fortnight of June so that the grain maturity stage coincided with the periods of frequent rainfall received in the ensuing August-September, thus predisposing the crop to grain mold disease. It was also tested in All India Co ordinated Research Projects on Sorghum (AICRP on Sorghum) during the year 2016 to 2018 in kharif season in the name of SPV247 in fifteen locations across nation. The culture was subjected to natural as well as artificial screening for pest and diseases. The nutritional quality of the grain and fodder was evaluated to assess the suitability of grain consumption and fodder for invitro digestibility.

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

During *kharif* (June-October) season from 2013 to 2015 at Regional Agricultural Research Station, Palem sorghum culture SPV 2437 recorded a mean grain yield of 3816.33 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and fodder yield of 16594.13 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> over PSV 56 check (3452 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, 15107 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (grain &fodder) and showed 10.55% (grain yield) and 9.84% (fodder yield) superiority than the check variety PSV 56 (Table 1).

## 3.1 Grain and Fodder Yield Performance

The sorghum culture SPV 2437 has been tested in AICRP on sorghum from *kharif* (June - October) 2016 to 2018 along with three promised national checks *i e.,* CSV 17, CSV 20 and CSV 27 during three years of 2016 to 2018 *kharif* 

seasons (June - October), SPV 2437 recorded a mean highest grain yield of 3179.8 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> over the national checks CSV 17 (2283.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), CSV 20 (3061.7kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and CSV 27 (2767.9kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in fifteen locations of Zone I (Andhra Pradesh, Telangana, Tamil Nadu, Rajasthan and Gujarat states) and 39.2%, 3.8% and 14.8%, superiority of grain yield noticed than the national checks (Table 2).

The culture SPV 2437 was also recorded highest mean fodder yield of 15900.2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> than the three national checks CSV 17 (8200.7 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), CSV 20 (14000.2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and CSV 27 (14600.8 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in fifteen locations (Andhra Pradesh, Telangana, Tamil Nadu, Rajasthan and Gujarat states) and 92.48%, 13.59% and 8.46% superior fodder yield was recorded than three national checks.

Table 1. Summary of Grain yield data of SPV 2437 in station trials from kharif 2013 to 2016

Trial name	PSV313	PSV 56	%Superiority		PSV 56	%Superiority
	Grain yiel	d(Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	over check	Fodder y	ield (Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	over check
Observation yield trial (2013-14)	3951.0	3578.0	10.4	14142.0	13453.0	5.1
Initial varietal trial (2014-15)	4061.0	3702.0	9.6	13944.4	12434.0	12.1
Advanced varietal trial (2015-16)	3437.0	3076.0	11.7	21696.0	19434.0	11.6
,	3816.3	3452.0	10.5	16594.1	15107.0	9.8

Table 2. Summary of Grain yield data of SPV 2437 in Coordinated Trials from 2016 to 2018

Year of testing	No. of locations	SPV2437	CSV 17	CSV 20	CSV 27
2016	2	3288.0	2307.0	3136.0	2469.0
2017	7	3327.0	2513.0	3035.0	2837.0
2018	6	2972.0	2008.0	3068.0	2787.0
Mean grain yield k	κg ha <sup>-1</sup>	3179.8	2283.5	3061.7	2767.9
2016			42.5	4.8	33.1
2017			32.3	9.6	17.2
2018			48.0	-3.1	6.6
Grain yield superion	ority		39.2 %	3.8%	14.8%

Table 3. Summary of fodder yield data of SPV 2437 in Coordinated Trials from 2016 to 2018

Year of testing	No. of	SPV 2437	CSV 17	CSV 20	CSV 27
	locations				
2016	2	160.0	85.0	158.0	164.7
2017	8	158.4	87.8	137.8	140.3
2018	5	160.3	73.6	136.9	150.1
Mean fodder yield	q ha <sup>-1</sup>	159.2	82.7	140.2	146.8
2016			88.3	1.3	-2.8
2017			80.4	14.9	12.9
2018			117.8	17.0	6.8
Fodder yield superion	ority		92.4 %	13.5 %	8.4 %

## 3.2 Agronomic Studies

Agronomic variables trial, among three recommended dose of fertilizers (F1: 50% RDF, F2: 100% RDF and F3: 125% RDF) SPV 2437 recorded highest grain yield 3200.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> under 125% recommended dose of fertilizers compared to the checks CSV 31 (2.9 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) SPV 2423 (2.9 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and SPV 2433 (2.9 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The percent superiority of SPV 2437 recorded 8.1% over the national check CSV 31 (Table 4).

The proposed promising high yielding sorghum genotype, SPV 2437 is a dual purpose variety with high grain and fodder yield potential of (3179.8 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> & 159.2 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) having moderate resistance to grain mold disease anthracnose, rust and leaf blight diseases and having better adaptability and high keeping quality. It has been released through Central Varietal Release Committee on the name of Telangana Jonna 1/ CSV 41 for Zone 1 (Andhra Pradesh, Telangana, Tamil Nadu, Rajasthan and Gujarat states) during 2020. It is medium duration variety, with total growth duration of about 106-108 days with long flower with pedicle and having yellow green midrib colour and stigma length is medium and having short anther length and dry anthers having grayed orange colour, stigma colouration is absent, colour of the glumes grayed orange, plant height is long with medium stem diameter, length of blade is long, leaf blade width is very broad, medium panicle with mediun length branches, semi compact and Symmetric panicle and its neck was short with short glumes and easy threshability of grains, Caryopsis color after threshing was yellow white, elliptic shape grains with medium weight of 1000 grains weight and non lustrous grain (Table 5).

# 3.3 Pest Resistance

The sorghum culture SPV 2437 percent of shoot fly dead hearts were 54.43% compared to

national checks CSV 17 (52.0%). CSV 20 (53.4%) and CSV 27 (51.6%) whereas dead hearts caused by stem borer recorded 22.2% in SPV 2437 and checks recorded CSV 17 (22.27%), CSV 20 (27.17%), and CSV 27 (21.60%). The culture SPV 2437 was screened along with checks under both natural as well as artificial condition and was found to be moderately resistant to both shoot fly and stem borer. For stem borer tunneling SPV 2437 recorded less damage (31.23%) whereas in checks CSV 17 (32.13%) and CSV 20 (34.23%). Ear Head bug panicle damage rating lowest was observed on SPV 2437 (2.15) as compared to the checks CSV 17 (2.69), CSV 20 (3.00) and in CSV 27 (2.9) of 1-9 damage rating scale (Table 6).

#### 3.4 Disease Resistance

Sorghum culture SPV 2437 was evaluated from kharif 2016 to kharif 2018 along with checks for diseases like Grain mold, leaf blight, anthracnose and downy mildew which were most common during kharif season. The panicle of sorghum culture SPV 2437 is semi compact, symmetric grains are elliptic in shape, awn less, during the three vears SPV 2437 showed 3.6 Grain mold field grade score and 4.3 of Grain mold field grade score of 1-9 scale showing resistance against grain mold disease and for anthracnose disease 3.4 of 1-9 scale was observed in SPV 2437 where 26-50% leaf area covered with small, restricted lesions showing moderate susceptible reaction and in checks it was noticed CSV 17 (3.6) CSV 20 (3.2) and CSV 27 ( 3.3). For leaf blight disease SPV 2437 was shown resistance reaction of 2.7 of 1-9 scale and 1-5% covered with hypersensitive lesions whereas in checks it was recorded CSV 17 (2.3) CSV 20 (2.6) and CSV 27 (2.5) (Table 10).

Table 4. Interaction effect of nitrogen levels on grain yield (t ha-1) of SPV 2437 during *kharif* season (Pooled data of 2017-18 and 2018 - 29)

Expt.	Item	SPV 2437	CSV 31	SPV2423	SPV 2433
Fertilizer experiments	Yield (t/ha) under recommended dose (F3)	3.20	2.96	2.95	2.99
	t/ha & Percentage gain or loss under other doses	2.90 (-9.37)	2.54 (-14.18)	2.55 (-13.55)	2.58 (-13.71)

Table 5. Distinguishing morphological characters of sorghum variety SPV 2437

Seedling: anthocyanin colouration of Coleoptile Yellow-areen Leaf Sheath: anthocyanin Colouration Leaf: midrib color (5<sup>th</sup> fully developed leaf) Yellow-green Yellow-green Time of panicle emergence (50% of the plants with 50% anthesis) Medium Plant: natural height of plant up to base of flag leaf (cm) Medium Flag leaf: Yellow coloration of mid rib Absent Lemma: Arista formation Absent Stigma: anthocyanin colouration Present Stigma: Yellow colouration Absent Stigma: length (mm) Medium Flower with pedicel; length of flower Long Anther: Length (mm) Short Anther: color of dry anther Grayed orange Glume: colour Grayed orange Plant: total height Long Stem: diameter (at lower one third height of plant) (mm) Medium Leaf: length of blade (the third leaf from top including flag leaf) (cm) Long Leaf: width of blade (the third leaf from top including flag leaf) (cm) Very Broad Panicle: length without peduncle (cm) Medium Panicle: length of branches (middle third of panicle) (cm) Medium Panicle: density at maturity (ear head compactness) Semi compact Panicle: shape Symmetric Neck of panicle: visible length above sheath (cm) Short Glume: length Short Freely threshable Thresh ability Caryopsis: color after threshing Yellow white Grain: weight of 1000 grains (g) Medium Grain: shape (in dorsal view) Elliptic Grain: shape in profile view **Elliptic** Grain: size of mark of germ Medium Grain: texture of endosperm (in longitudinal section) 3/4 farinaceous Grain: colour of vitreous albumen Greyed yellow Grain: luster Non lustrous

Table 6. Reaction of SPV 2437 to different Insect Pests

Pest name	Year	SPV 2437	CSV 17	CSV 20	CSV 27
Shoot fly (dead	2016	62.0	64.9	60.8	54.5
hearts) 28 days after	2017	47.4	42.0	46.6	48.1
emergence	2018	53.9	49.1	53.0	52.2
	Mean	54.43	52.0	53.4	51.6
Stem borer (dead	2016	14.3	16.7	22.9	13.3
hearts)	2017	24.9	21.5	25.1	22.3
	2018	27.6	30.1	33.5	29.2
	Mean	22.27	22.77	27.17	21.6
Stem borer (stem	2016	36.6	39.4	41	31.9
tunneling)	2017	20.5	15.6	21.1	16.8
	2018	36.6	41.4	40.6	43.8
	Mean	31.23	32.13	34.23	30.83
Pest 3	2016	2.1	3.0	2.1	2.1
Head Bug (panicle	2017	2.0	2.6	3.0	3.6
damage rating 1 - 9 at	2018	2.3	2.7	3.0	2.3
milk stage)	Mean	2.1	2.6	3.0	2.9

Table 7. Visual grain mold severity rating scale (1-9 scale)

<b>Severity Grade</b>	Percent grains infected in a panicle/ threshed sample	Disease Reaction
1	<1	Highly Resistant
2	1-5	Resistant
3	6-10	Resistant
4	11-20	Moderately Resistant
5	21-30	Moderately Resistant
6	31-40	Susceptible
7	41-50	Susceptible
8	51-75	Highly Susceptible

Table 8. Disease severity scale of anthracnose disease

Severity Ratings	Symptoms and Lesion Type on the Leaves	Disease reaction
1	No visible symptoms/chlorotic flecks	Resistant
2	1–25% leaf area covered with small, restricted lesions	Moderate
		Resistant
3	26-50% leaf area covered with small, restricted lesions	Moderate
		Susceptible
4	51-75% leaf area covered with large, coalescing lesions	Susceptible
5	>75% leaf area covered with large, coalescing lesions	Highly
	•	Susceptible

Table 9. Disease severity scale for turcicum leaf blight in sorghum

Severity rating	Symptom and lesion types (on top four leaves)
1	0 to <1 % leaf area with mild yellow flecks
2	1-5% leaf area covered with hypersensitive small lesions
3	6-10% leaf area covered with hypersensitive small lesions
4	11-20% leaf area covered with small necrotic lesions
5	21-30% area covered with small necrotic coalescing lesions
6	31-40% area covered with large coalescing necrotic lesions
7	41-50% leaf area covered with large coalescing necrotic lesions
8	51-75% leaf area covered with large coalescing necrotic lesions
9	76-100% leaf area covered with large coalescing necrotic lesions

Table 10. Reaction of SPV 2437 to different diseases

Disease		Year of	SPV 2437	<b>CSV 17</b>	CSV 20	
name		testing				<b>CSV 27</b>
Grain mold	Artificial	2016	3.8	3.2	3.3	3.3
Field grade		2017	2.9	3.2	3.1	3
_		2018	4.3	3.8	4.2	4.3
		Mean	3.6	3.4	3.5	3.5
Grain mold	Natural	2016	4.0	4.7	3.9	3.6
threshed		2017	3.8	4.0	3.4	3.8
grade		2018	5.3	4.2	5.1	5.2
-		Mean	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.2
Anthracnose	Natural	2016	4.2	3.9	4.1	3.8
		2017	1.7	1.8	1.9	1.8
		2018	4.3	5.1	3.7	4.3
		Mean	3.4	3.6	3.2	3.3
Leaf blight	Natural	2016	1.9	2.2	2.6	2.0
· ·		2017	3.8	3.0	2.8	3.2
		2018	2.5	1.8	2.5	2.3
		Mean	2.7	2.3	2.6	2.5

Table 11. Summary of data on quality characteristics of SPV 2437

Quality Characteristic	Year	SPV 2437	CSV 17	CSV 20	CSV 27
Protein	2017	10.44	11.98	11.31	10.95
	2018	9.72	11.30	9.75	9.07
Mean		10.08	11.64	10.53	10.01
Starch	2017	58.11	62.10	57.72	64.39
	2018	67.24	65.65	65.41	67.55
Mean		62.675	63.875	61.565	65.97

## 3.5 Nutritional Quality

During 2017-18 and 2018-19 the percent protein and starch of SPV 2437 was analyzed by quality profiling test at Indian Institute of Millets Research and exhibited mean protein content of 10.97% and mean starch content of 62.67%, where as in checks it was recorded CSV 20 (61.56%) and CSV 27 (65.97%) Yellow sorghum has a high protein level (12- 13%) than white sorghum (10-11). Generally higher protein content was observed in yellow sorghum (11.57%) as compared to white sorghum (9.57%).

## 4. CONCLUSION

In any breeding programme, the breeder's primary objective is the development of superior entries in terms of yield potential and also for biotic stresses. Since sorghum is a dual purpose crop for food and feed, the grain yield and fodder were considered in assessing performance. The culture SPV 2437 recorded superior grain and fodder yield and excelled the national check varieties CSV 17, CSV 20 and CSV 27. It also possessed many desirable traits viz., high protein content in the gain with better cooking quality. The quality of the dry fodder was also found to be better in terms of digestibility. Besides pest and disease resistance is optimal in SPV 2437. Hence, the culture SPV 2437 was proposed as a new sorghum variety during the year 2020 and released as CSV 41 for the benefit of marginal, small and tribal farmers for the Zone I. This variety can be effectively utilized by the farming community for higher productivity compared to the locally available varieties.

# **DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**

The data sets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available with the corresponding author on reasonable request.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors are grateful to Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University and ICAR-Indian Institute of Millets Research, Hyderabad for the support extended during the conduct of the experiment and publishing the article

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. Parthasarathy Rao P, Birthal BS, Reddy BVS, Rai KN, Ramesh S. Diagnostics of sorghum and pearl millet grains-based nutrition in India. Inter Sorghum and Millets Newsletter. 2006;47:93–96.
- Shubha S, Shivalingappa H, Vivek, Devaranavadagi S, KolharBC, SC Rathod. Assessment of promising verities of sorghum for charcoal rot and yield potentiality. The Phar. Innov. 2021;10(12): 1259-1262
- 3. FAO; 2021.
  Available:http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#da ta/QC
  (Accessed July 30, 2022).
- 4. Visarada KBRS, Aruna C. Sorghum: A bundle of opportunities in the 21st century, in Breeding sorghum for diverse end uses. 1st Ed. Eds. C. Aruna, K. B. R. S. Visarada, B. V. Bhat and V. A. Tonapi (Sawston, UK: Woodhead Publishing). 2019;1–14. DOI: 10.1016/B978-0-08-101879-8.00001-
- 5. Griebel S, Webb MM, Campanella OH, Craig BA, Weil CF, Tuinstra MR. The alkali spreading phenotype in sorghum bicolor and its relationship to starch gelatinization. J. Cereal Sci. 2019;86:41–47.

DOI: 10.1016/j.jcs.2019.01.002

- 6. Tonapi VA. Patil JV. Davakar Rao B. Elangovan M. Venkatesh Bhat Raghavendra Rao KV. Sorghum: Vision 2030 (Rajendranagar, Hyderabad 500 030 (AP). India: Directorate of Sorghum Research. 2011;38.
- 7. Borad PK, Mittal VP. Assessment of losses of caused by pest complex to sorghum hybrid CSH-5. Ind. J. Entomol. 1983; 15:271-278.
- 8. Pawar VM, Jadhav GD, Kadam BS. Compatibility of oncol 50 sp with different fungicides on sorghum (C53541) against shoot fly (Atherigona soccata Rondani). Pesticide. 1984;8:9-10.
- 9. Kumar AA, Reddy BVS, Sharma HC, Ramaiah B. Shoot fly (Atherigona soccata) resistance in improved grain sorghum hybrids. E-J. SAT Agric. Res. 2008;6:1-
- Sharma HC, Taneja SL, Kameswara rao 10. N. Prasada rao KE. Evaluation of Sorghum Germplasm for Resistance to Insect Pests. Patancheru: International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT). Information Bulletin no. 2003;
- Das IK, Patil JV. Assessment of economic 11. loss due to grain mold of sorghum in India. Compendium of Papers and Abstracts. 2013;59-63.
- 12. Das I K, Aruna C, Tonapi V A. Sorghum grain mold (Hyderabad, India: ICAR-Indian Institute of Millets Research); 2020;86.
- 13. Teixeira EDO, Werther W.B. Resilience: renewal competitive Continuous of advantages, Business horizons. 2013; 56(3):333-342

- Geleta N. Labuschagne MT. Osthoff G. Hugo A. Bothma C. Physical and chemical properties associated with food quality in sorghum. South African Journal of Plant and Soil. 2005;22(3):175-179.
- FAOSTAT (2019). Food and Agriculture 15. Organization of the United Nations; 2019.
- Eggen M, Ozdogan M, Zaitchik B, Ademe 16. D, Fotlz J, Simane B. Vulnerability of sorghum production to extreme, subseasonal weather under climate change. Environ. Res. Lett. 2019;14:045005. DOI: 10.1088/1748-9326/aafe19
  - Ocheing G, Nguyi K, Wamalwa LN,
- 17. Manyasa E, Muchira N, Nyamongo D, et al. Novel sources of drought tolerance from landraces and wild sorghum relatives. Crop Sci. 2020;61:104-118. DOI: 10.1002/csc2.20300
- Prakriti Dhaka, Sridevi Tallapragada, 18. Sarita Devi, Dhaka BK, Kamal, Pankaj. Implication of Jasmonic Acid Physiological Alterations on Salt Stressed Fodder Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L.) International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. 2023;13 (8):649-660
- 19. Patil JV, Reddy PS, Prabhakar UAV, Sunil G, Ganapathy KN. History of post-rainy season sorghum research in India and strategies for breaking the yield plateau. Indian J. Genet. 2014;74:271-285.
- 20. Raju G, Teggellia Vijaysingh Thakura, Zaheer Ahamedaand Yusuf Performance of SPV 2217 Variety of Sorghum in the North-eastern dry Zone of International Journal Karnataka. Environment and Climate Change. 2022; 12(10):963-966.

© 2023 Maheshwaramma et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

> Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/101542